1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SABINO NUNEZ GUDINO,	1:05-cv-00954 LJO SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING FIRST MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE OBJECTIONS
13	vs.	TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	B.C. ADAMS, et al.,	(Motion#32)
15	Defendants.	30-DAY DEADLINE
16	/	
17	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §	
18	1983. On June 25, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion to extend time to file objections to findings	
19 20	and recommendations. Plaintiff has offered no explanation as to why he is unable to submit	
20	objections on time. However, the Court will grant Plaintiff's motion for an extension. Plaintiff	
21 22	is advised that any future request for an extension of time must be accompanied by a demonstration that good cause exists to justify an extension of time. Accordingly, IT IS	
22	HEREBY ORDERED that:	
24	Plaintiff is granted thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order in which to file	
25	his objections to the findings and recommendations.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27		
28		/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE