1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	BRIAN W. THOMAS,) 1:05-CV-1198 LJO JMD HC		
13	Petitioner,	ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO SUBMIT		
14	V.)		
15	MATTHEW CATE,			
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	Petitioner proceeds with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. <i>Inter</i>			
19	<i>alia</i> , Petitioner contends that application of article V, section 8(b) of the California Constitution to him violates his rights under the Ex Post Facto Clause of the United States Constitution. On March 19, 2009, the Court scheduled an evidentiary hearing in order to permit Petitioner to present evidence that article			
20				
21				
22	V, section 8(b) of the California Constitution	on creates a significant risk of prolonging Petitioner's		
23	incarceration and therefore violates Petitioner's rights under the Ex Post Facto Clause of the United			
24	States Constitution. See Doc. No. 27.			
25	On July 21, 2009, the Court granted Petitioner's request for leave to propound discovery pursuant			
26		254 Cases. <u>See</u> Doc. No. 38. Petitioner filed a motion		
27	seeking additional discovery on July 30, 2010;	Petitioner subsequently withdrew this request. See Docs.		
28				

L	No. 76, 80.	Discovery is now	complete.

	-		
2	In order to facilitate scheduling of the evidentiary hearing in this matter, the parties shall file a		
3	joint pre-hearing statement that identifies the following:		
4	(1)	the factual issues to be resolved at the evidentiary hearing;	
5	(2)	a statement of disputed and undisputed facts;	
6	(3)	the documents or exhibits the parties intend on offering, including joint exhibits;	
7	(4)	any stipulations or admissions about facts and documents;	
8	(5)	the names of each witness the parties anticipate presenting and a brief description of what	
9		each witness will establish;	
10	(6)	a list of the witnesses that will need to be subpoenaed and a timetable for facilitating the	
11		transfer of any witnesses that are currently incarcerated;	
12	(7)	any evidentiary problems that may be expected to arise;	
13	(8)	any other stipulation that would facilitate the just and speedy disposition of this matter;	
14		and	
15	(9)	an estimate on the length of time the parties anticipate the hearing will take.	
16			
17			
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19	Dated: January 10, 2011 /s/ John M. Dixon UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
20		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JODGE	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		