1	COUNSEL IDENTIFICATION ON FINA	L PAGE	
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7 8	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, et al.,	Case No. 1:05-cv-01207 LJO-EPG	
9	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO FILE A FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT	
10	v.		
11	SALLY JEWELL, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Interior, <i>et al.</i> ,		
12	Defendants.		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	SAN LUIS & DELTA MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, et al.,		
16	Defendants-Intervenors.		
17			
18	ANDERSON-COTTONWOOD IRRIGATION DISTRICT, <i>et al.</i> ,		
19	Joined Parties.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>			
26			
27			
28			

## RECITALS

WHEREAS, on October 20, 2016, the Court dismissed Plaintiffs' Second Claim for Relief "on the ground that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the Second Claim for relief because certain allegations in the claim are moot and because Plaintiffs have failed to comply with the ESA's 60-day notice requirement as to any non-moot allegations," Doc. 1045 ("10/20/16 Order") at 25;

WHEREAS, the Court has not yet issued a final ruling on motions filed on June 20, 2016 by the Sacramento River Settlement Contractors and Federal Defendants to dismiss the Fifth and Sixth Claims for Relief (Doc. 1031 & 1032);

WHEREAS, on November 14, 2016, Plaintiffs mailed to Defendants Secretary of Interior and Commissioner of Bureau of Reclamation a 60-day notice ("2016 Notice Letter") asserting alleged violations of the Endangered Species Act, *see* Doc. 1055-11.

WHEREAS, on January 19, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative, Leave to Supplement the Complaint, *see* Doc. 1055;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' alternative motion for leave seeks to supplement the complaint for the limited purpose of reviving the Second Claim as it pertains to Reclamation's reliance on the 2015 reinitiated consultation, and adding an allegation pertaining to the 2016 Notice Letter, *see* Docs. 1055-1 (proposed Fifth Supplemental Complaint), 1055-12 (redlined version of proposed Fifth Supplemental Complaint);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs acknowledge that the Court has ruled that the allegations in paragraphs 173 and 174 of the Fourth Supplemental Complaint pertaining to the 2005 Smelt OCAP Biological Opinion are moot, *see* 10/20/16 Order at 20, 25, and Plaintiffs confirm that their motion does not seek reconsideration of that ruling and that Paragraphs 173 and 174 are included in the proposed Fifth Supplemental Complaint for background informational purposes only;

WHEREAS, Defendants oppose Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration but do not oppose Plaintiffs' motion in the alternative for leave to file a Fifth Supplemental Complaint, subject to Plaintiffs' acknowledgement and confirmation of the Court's mootness ruling regarding paragraphs 173 and 174 of the Fourth Supplemental Complaint (now paragraphs 175 and 176 of

1	the proposed Fifth Supplemental Complaint),	and subject to a reservation of Defendants' rights to
2	assert additional affirmative defenses and/or a	a motion to dismiss with respect to the Second Claim;
3	WHEREAS, the parties agree that it would be in the interests of judicial efficiency to avoid	
4	further briefing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative, Leave to	
5	Supplement the Complaint; and	
6	WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs agree to withdraw their Motion for Reconsideration or, in the	
7	Alternative, Leave to Supplement the Complaint, see Doc. 1055, upon the Court's approval of the	
8	accompanying Order;	
9	STI	PULATION
10	NOW THEREFORE, counsel for Plai	ntiffs and Defendants hereby stipulate to Plaintiffs
11	filing the proposed Fifth Supplemental Complaint and accompanying exhibits, currently found at	
12	ECF Document Nos. 1055-1 through 1055-8, without prejudice to Defendants' rights to assert	
13	additional affirmative defenses and/or a motion to dismiss with respect to the Second Claim for	
14	Relief. The Fifth Supplemental Complaint, subject to the Court's approval, shall be filed following	
15	the Court's ruling on the pending motions to dismiss, and that ruling shall be applicable to the Fifth	
16	Supplemental Complaint.	
17	DATED: February 15, 2017	Barbara J. Chisholm
18		Barbara J. Chisholm
19		HAMILTON CANDEE (SBN 111376) BARBARA JANE CHISHOLM (SBN 224656)
20	,	TONY LOPRESTI (SBN 289269) CORINNE JOHNSON (SB 287385)
21		ALTSHULER BERZON LLP 177 Post St., Suite 300
22	:	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 421-7151
23		Facsimile: (415) 362-8064
24		Attorneys for Plaintiff NRDC
25		KATHERINE POOLE (SBN 195010) DOUGLAS ANDREW OBEGI (SBN 246127)
26		NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL 111 Sutter Street, 21st Floor
27	3	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-6100
28		Facsimile: (415) 875-6161
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE A FIFTH

1		
2		Attorneys for Plaintiff NRDC
3		TRENT W. ORR (SBN 77656) EARTHJUSTICE
4		50 California St. Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94111
5		Telephone: (415) 217-2000 Facsimile: (415) 217-2040
6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
7	DATED: February 15, 2017	/s/ Nicole M. Smith (as authorized on Feb. 15, 2017)
8	DATED. Teordary 13, 2017	Nicole M. Smith
9		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES
10		DIVISION 601 D Street, NW Room 3712
11		Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Telephone: (202) 305-0368
12		Facsimile: (202) 305-0275
13		Attorneys for Respondents Sally Jewell, in her official
14		capacity as Secretary of the Interior, et al.
15	DATED: February 15, 2017	/s/ Meredith Nikkel (as authorized on Feb. 15, 2017) Meredith Nikkel
16		DOWNEY BRAND LLP
17		621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814
18		Telephone: (916) 444-1000 Facsimile: (916) 444-2100
19		, ,
20		Attorneys for Intervenors and Joined Party Defendants Reclamation District No. 108, Sutter
21		Mutual Water Company, Natomas Central Mutual Water Company, River Garden Farms Company,
22		Pleasant Grove-Verona Mutual Water Company,
23		Pelger Mutual Water Company, Meridian Farms Water Company, Henry D. Richter, et al., Howald
24		Farms, Inc., Oji Brothers Farm, Inc., Oji Family Partnership, Carter Mutual Water Company,
25		Windswept Land And Livestock Company, Maxwell
26		Irrigation District, and Tisdale Irrigation and Drainage Company
27		
28	DATED: February 15, 2017	/s/ Andrew Hitchings (as authorized on Feb. 15, 2017) Andrew Hitchings
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE A FIFTH

1		
2		SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN A Professional Corporation
3		500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000
		Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 446-7979
4		Facsimile: (916) 446-8199
5		Attorneys for Intervenors and Joined Party
6		Defendants Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District,
7 8		Princeton-Codora-Glenn Irrigation District, Provident Irrigation District, Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District, City Of Redding, M & T Chico
		Ranch (Pacific Realty Associates), Reclamation
9		District No. 1004, Conaway Preservation Group, LLC, and David and Alice te Velde Family Trust
10		•
11	DATED: February 15, 2017	/s/ Daniel J. O'Hanlon (as authorized on Feb. 15,
12		2017) Daniel J. O'Hanlon
13		
14		KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
15		A Professional Corporation
16		400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814
17		Telephone: (916) 321-4500 Facsimile: (916) 321-4555
		Facsiline. (910) 321-4333
18		Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors and Joined Party Defendants, San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water
19		Authority, Westlands Water District, Coelho Family
20		Trust, Eagle Field Water District, Fresno Slough Water District, Mercy Springs Water District, Oro
21		Loma Water District, and Tranquillity Irrigation
22		District
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	ORDER		
2	Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs leave to file the		
3	proposed Fifth Supplemental Complaint, currently found at ECF Document Nos. 1055-1 through		
4	1055-8.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	Dated: February 17, 2017 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE		
8	ONTED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JODGE		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			