| 1        | COUNSEL IDENTIFICATION ON FINA   | L PAGE   |
|----------|--|--|
| 2        |  |  |
| 3        |  |  |
| 4        | UNITED STAT  | TES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 5        | EASTERN DIST   | TRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 6        |  |  |
| 7<br>8   | NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE<br>COUNCIL, <i>et al.</i> ,  | Case No. 1:05-cv-01207 LJO-EPG   |
| 9        | Plaintiffs,  | STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY<br>FURTHER SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF<br>1027)                      |
| 10       | v.   | 1027)  |
| 11       | RYAN K. ZINKE <sup>1</sup> , in his official capacity as Secretary of the Interior, <i>et al.</i> ,        |  |
| 12       | Defendants.  |  |
| 13       |  |  |
| 14       |  |  |
| 15<br>16 | SAN LUIS & DELTA MENDOTA<br>WATER AUTHORITY, <i>et al.</i> ,   |  |
| 10       | Defendants-Intervenors.  |  |
| 18       | ANDERSON-COTTONWOOD<br>IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.,  |  |
| 19<br>20 | Joined Parties.  |  |
| 20       |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |
| 23       |  |  |
| 24       |  |  |
| 25       |  |  |
| 26       |  |  |
| 27       |  |  |
| 28       | <sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.P. 25(d), Ryan K. Z of the Interior, is automatically substituted for | Zinke, in his capacity as Secretary of the Department or S.M.R. Jewell.                        |
|          |  | STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY FURTHER<br>BRIEFING SCHEDULE<br>CASE NO. 1:05-CV-01207 LJO-EPG |

| 1  | RECITALS   |   |
|----|--|---|
| 2  | WHEREAS, on February 23, 2017, the Court entered its ruling on the parties' motions to                   |   |
| 3  | dismiss, and dismissed Plaintiffs' Fifth Claim for Relief and, as to Federal Defendants, narrowed        |   |
| 4  | the scope of Plaintiffs' Sixth Claim for Relief to: (i) "whether the approval of transfers               |   |
| 5  | proximately caused the take alleged in the Sixth Claim for Relief;" or (ii) whether "Reclamation's       |   |
| 6  | failure to require [Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District] to divert up to 20,315 [acre feet] of water from   |   |
| 7  | Stony Creek, rather than from the Sacramento River, could have proximately caused any of the             |   |
| 8  | harms alleged in the Sixth Claim for Relief," ECF 1069 at 56-57;   |   |
| 9  | WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's June 6, 2016, Further Scheduling Order (ECF 1027),                      |   |
| 10 | Federal Defendants are to lodge the administrative record 20 days after the Court's ruling on the        |   |
| 11 | motions to dismiss;  |   |
| 12 | WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Fifth Supplemental Complaint on March 1, 2017 (ECF                           |   |
| 13 | 1071);   |   |
| 14 | WHEREAS, Federal Defendants need additional time to prepare an administrative record                     |   |
| 15 | for the Sixth Claim for Relief, as narrowed by the Court's February 23, 2017 order, and for actions      |   |
| 16 | challenged in Plaintiffs' Fifth Supplemental Complaint;  |   |
| 17 | WHEREAS, the parties agree that it would be in the best interest of all parties to review the            | ; |
| 18 | contents and be provided an opportunity to comment on the scope of those administrative records          |   |
| 19 | prior to Federal Defendants' lodging the records with the Court;   |   |
| 20 | WHEREAS, the parties agree that Defendants should be allowed to file a responsive                        |   |
| 21 | pleading to Plaintiffs' Fifth Supplemental Complaint;  |   |
| 22 | WHEREAS, for the Sixth Claim for Relief, the parties agree that discovery is appropriate                 |   |
| 23 | as to the claims against the Sacramento River Settlement Contractor parties (SRS Contractors);           |   |
| 24 | WHEREAS, for the Sixth Claim for Relief, the parties are discussing, but have not yet                    |   |
| 25 | agreed on: (i) the propriety, need for, or scope of discovery as to the claims against the Federal       |   |
| 26 | Defendants; (ii) discovery schedules; (iii) a briefing schedule; or (iv) pre-trial and trial scheduling, |   |
| 27 | for that claim;  |   |
| 28 | WHEREAS, the parties are continuing to meet and confer regarding Plaintiffs' Sixth Claim                 |   |
|    |  | 1 |

| 1  | for Relief and intend to file a further stipulation regarding amendments to the briefing schedule as |
|----|--|
| 2  | to the remaining claims in this case once the parties reach agreement about Plaintiffs' Sixth Claim  |
| 3  | for Relief;  |
| 4  | STIPULATION  |
| 5  | NOW THEREFORE, counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants hereby stipulate to the                         |
| 6  | following revised schedule regarding responsive pleadings and the administrative records in this     |
| 7  | case:  |
| 8  | Responsive Pleadings:  |
| 9  | • April 14, 2017: Answers to Plaintiffs' Fifth Supplemental Complaint shall be filed.                |
| 10 | Administrative Records:  |
| 11 | • April 12, 2017: Federal Defendants shall distribute to all parties a draft index summarizing       |
| 12 | the proposed contents of the administrative record(s) ("AR") for purposes of informing a meet and    |
| 13 | confer process regarding any potential disputes.   |
| 14 | • April 19, 2017: Comments on the draft AR index shall be due to Federal Defendants.                 |
| 15 | • May 3, 2017: Federal Defendants shall lodge the AR.  |
| 16 | • May 24, 2017: All motions regarding completeness of the AR and any motions or                      |
| 17 | stipulations to limit review of the Sixth Claim as against Federal Defendants to the AR shall be     |
| 18 | filed. To the extent a motion is required, briefing shall take place according to the local rules.   |
| 19 | • 7 days after Court's ruling on any disputes regarding completeness of the AR: Federal              |
| 20 | Defendants shall complete any change to the AR to conform with the Court's rulings.                  |
| 21 | Discovery for the Sixth Claim:   |
| 22 | • March 24, 2017: Plaintiffs, SRS Contractors and Federal Defendants shall meet and confer           |
| 23 | about the scope and schedule of discovery, and pre-trial and trial scheduling, for Plaintiffs' Sixth |
| 24 | Claim for Relief against the SRS Contractors.  |
| 25 | • May 10, 2017: Following lodging and review of the administrative record, Plaintiffs and            |
| 26 | Federal Defendants shall meet and confer about the scope and schedule of discovery for Plaintiffs'   |
| 27 | Sixth Claim for Relief against Federal Defendants.   |
| 28 |  |

2

| 1      |                       |   |
|--------|-----------------------|---|
| 1<br>2 | DATED: March 16, 2017 | <u>/s/_Nicole M. Smith</u><br>Nicole M. Smith   |
| 3      |                       | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  |
| 4      |                       | ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES<br>DIVISION   |
| 5      |                       | 601 D Street, NW Room 3712<br>Washington, D.C. 20044-7611   |
| 6      |                       | Telephone: (202) 305-0368<br>Facsimile: (202) 305-0275  |
| 7      |                       | Bradley H. Oliphant, Trial Attorney<br>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE   |
| 8      |                       | ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES<br>DIVISION   |
| 9      |                       | 999 18th St., South Terrace, Ste. 370<br>Denver, CO 80202   |
| 10     |                       | Tel: (303) 844-1381<br>Facsimile: (303) 844-1350  |
| 11     |                       | Attorneys for Respondents Ryan K. Zinke, in his   |
| 12     |                       | official capacity as Secretary of the Interior, et al.  |
| 13     | DATED: March 16, 2017 | /s/ Barbara J. Chisholm (as authorized on Mar. 15,  |
| 14     | DATED. Match 10, 2017 | 2017)<br>Barbara J. Chisholm  |
| 15     |                       | HAMILTON CANDEE (SBN 111376)  |
| 16     |                       | BARBARA JANE CHISHOLM (SBN 224656)<br>TONY LOPRESTI (SBN 289269)  |
| 17     |                       | CORINNE JOHNSON (SB 287385)<br>ALTSHULER BERZON LLP   |
| 18     |                       | 177 Post St., Suite 300<br>San Francisco, CA 94108  |
| 19     |                       | Telephone: (415) 421-7151<br>Facsimile: (415) 362-8064  |
| 20     |                       | Attorneys for Plaintiff NRDC  |
| 21     |                       |   |
| 22     | DATED: March 16, 2017 | <u>/s/Meredith Nikkel</u> (as authorized on Mar. 15, 2017)<br>Meredith Nikkel   |
| 23     |                       | DOWNEY BRAND LLP  |
| 24     |                       | 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor<br>Sacramento, CA 95814  |
| 25     |                       | Telephone: (916) 444-1000<br>Facsimile: (916) 444-2100  |
| 26     |                       | Attorneys for Intervenors and Joined Party  |
| 27     |                       | Defendants Reclamation District No. 108, Sutter<br>Mutual Water Company, Natomas Central Mutual   |
| 28     |                       | Water Company, River Garden Farms Company,<br>Pleasant Grove-Verona Mutual Water Company,<br>STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY FURTHER BRIEFING<br>CASE NO. 1:05-CV-01207 LJO-EPG |

| 1  |                       | Pelger Mutual Water Company, Meridian Farms  |
|----|-----------------------|--|
| 2  |                       | Water Company, Henry D. Richter, et al., Howald<br>Farms, Inc., Oji Brothers Farm, Inc., Oji Family    |
| 3  |                       | Partnership, Carter Mutual Water Company,  |
| 4  |                       | Windswept Land And Livestock Company, Maxwell<br>Irrigation District, and Tisdale Irrigation and       |
| 5  |                       | Drainage Company   |
| 6  | DATED: March 16, 2017 | /s/ Andrew Hitchings (as authorized on Mar. 16,  |
| 7  |                       | 2017)  |
| 8  |                       | Andrew Hitchings   |
| 9  |                       | SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN<br>A Professional Corporation  |
| 10 |                       | 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000   |
|    |                       | Sacramento, CA 95814<br>Telephone: (916) 446-7979  |
| 11 |                       | Facsimile: (916) 446-8199  |
| 12 |                       | Attorneys for Intervenors and Joined Party   |
| 13 |                       | Defendants Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District,<br>Princeton-Codora-Glenn Irrigation District,            |
| 14 |                       | Provident Irrigation District, Anderson-Cottonwood   |
| 15 |                       | Irrigation District, City Of Redding, M & T Chico  |
| 16 |                       | Ranch (Pacific Realty Associates), Reclamation<br>District No. 1004, Conaway Preservation Group,       |
| 17 |                       | LLC, and David and Alice te Velde Family Trust   |
| 18 | DATED: March 16, 2017 | /s/ Daniel J. O'Hanlon (as authorized on Mar. 16,  |
| 19 | DATED. Watch 10, 2017 | 2017)  |
| 20 |                       | Daniel J. O'Hanlon   |
|    |                       | KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN &  |
| 21 |                       | GIRARD<br>A Professional Corporation   |
| 22 |                       | 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor   |
| 23 |                       | Sacramento, CA 95814<br>Telephone: (916) 321-4500  |
| 24 |                       | Facsimile: (916) 321-4555  |
| 25 |                       | Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors and Joined   |
| 26 |                       | Party Defendants, San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water<br>Authority, Westlands Water District, Coelho Family |
| 27 |                       | Trust, Eagle Field Water District, Fresno Slough   |
|    |                       | Water District, Mercy Springs Water District, Oro  |
| 28 |                       | Loma Water District, and Tranquillity Irrigation   |
|    |                       | STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY FURTHER BRIEFING<br>4 SCHEDULE   |
|    |                       | CASE NO. 1:05-CV-01207 LJO-EPG   |

| 1  | District |
|----|----------|
| 2  |          |
| 3  |          |
| 4  |          |
| 5  |          |
| 6  |          |
| 7  |          |
| 8  |          |
| 9  |          |
| 10 |          |
| 11 |          |
| 12 |          |
| 13 |          |
| 14 |          |
| 15 |          |
| 16 |          |
| 17 |          |
| 18 |          |
| 19 |          |
| 20 |          |
| 21 |          |
| 22 |          |
| 23 |          |
| 24 |          |
| 25 |          |
| 26 |          |
| 27 |          |
| 28 |          |
|    |          |

| 1  | ORDER  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, the Court hereby ORDERS that the following schedule            |
| 3  | for further proceedings in this case is established:   |
| 4  | Responsive Pleadings:  |
| 5  | • April 14, 2017: Answers to Plaintiffs' Fifth Supplemental Complaint shall be filed.                |
| 6  | Administrative Records:  |
| 7  | • April 12, 2017: Federal Defendants shall distribute to all parties a draft index summarizing       |
| 8  | the proposed contents of the administrative record(s) ("AR") for purposes of informing a meet and    |
| 9  | confer process regarding any potential disputes.   |
| 10 | • April 19, 2017: Comments on the draft AR index shall be due to Federal Defendants.                 |
| 11 | • May 3, 2017: Federal Defendants shall lodge the AR.  |
| 12 | • May 24, 2017: All motions regarding completeness of the AR and any motions or                      |
| 13 | stipulations to limit review of the Sixth Claim as against Federal Defendants to the AR shall be     |
| 14 | filed. To the extent a motion is required, briefing shall take place according to the local rules.   |
| 15 | • 7 days after Court's ruling on any disputes regarding completeness of the AR: Federal              |
| 16 | Defendants shall complete any change to the AR to conform with the Court's rulings.                  |
| 17 | Discovery for the Sixth Claim:   |
| 18 | • March 24, 2017: Plaintiffs, SRS Contractors and Federal Defendants shall meet and confer           |
| 19 | about the scope and schedule of discovery, and pre-trial and trial scheduling, for Plaintiffs' Sixth |
| 20 | Claim for Relief against the SRS Contractors.  |
| 21 | • May 10, 2017: Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants shall meet and confer about the scope              |
| 22 | and schedule of discovery for Plaintiffs' Sixth Claim for Relief against Federal Defendants.         |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |
| 24 | Dated: March 21, 2017 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  |
| 25 | UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE   |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
|    | 6 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY FURTHER BRIEFING<br>SCHEDULE<br>CASE NO. 1:05-CV-01207 LJO-EPG     |