1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	RAYMOND WRIGHT, CASE NO. 1:05-cv-01485-SKO PC	
9	Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR	
10	v. PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO INFORM COURT OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS	
11	RUMBLES, et al., SHOW CAUSE RESPONSE DUE WITHIN 30	
12	Defendants. DAYS	
13	/	
14	Plaintiff Raymond Wright ("Plaintiff") is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
15	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Numerous filings mailed to Plaintiff have been	
16	returned to the Court as undeliverable. The Court has attempted to serve Plaintiff at the address on	
17	record as well as at several other addresses found on Plaintiff's filings in this case and in other cases	
18	Plaintiff is litigating in this Court. All recent mail sent to Plaintiff from the Court has been returned	
19	as undeliverable.	
20	Under Local Rule 182(f), Plaintiff is required to inform the Court of any change of address.	
21	Plaintiff has failed to keep the Court apprised of his current address. Accordingly, Plaintiff is	
22	HEREBY ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this order	
23	why this action should not be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to inform the Court of his change of	
24	address.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: February 2, 2011 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
	1	