

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT MAESHACK,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
AVENAL STATE PRISON, et al.,  
Defendants.

1:06-CV-00065-AWI-SMS-P  
  
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL  
(DOCUMENT #4)

Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 109 S.Ct. 1814 (1989).

In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, this court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases.

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious

1 allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is  
2 faced with similar cases almost daily. Therefore, plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel  
3 must be denied.

4 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for  
5 the appointment of counsel is denied.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 **Dated:** March 14, 2006  
b6edp0

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28