1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	JOHN I. MCALLISTER, CASE NO. 1:06-cv-00082-OWW-SMS PC	
10	Plaintiff, ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION	
11	v. FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
12	PAUL M. SCHULTZ, et al., (ECF No. 50)	
13	Defendants. FIFTEEN-DAY DEADLINE	
14	·	
15	Plaintiff John I. McAllister ("Plaintiff") is a former federal prisoner proceeding pro se and	1
16	in forma pauperis in this civil action pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federa	<u>l</u>
17	Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999 (1971), which provides a remedy for violation of	f
18	civil rights by federal actors. This action is proceeding on the complaint, filed January 26, 2006	,
19	against Defendants Gordon, Martin, McCarty, Schultz, and Brown for violations of the First	t
20	Amendment. On May 10, 2011, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 50.)	
21	Plaintiff failed to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion. Local Rule)
22	230(1).	
23	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
24	1. Plaintiff shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendants	,
25	motion for summary judgment within fifteen (15) days from the date of service or	f
26	this order; and	
27	///	
28	///	
	1	

1	2. The failure to respond to Defendants' motion in compliance with this order will
2	result in dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to prosecute.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated:June 23, 2011/s/ Sandra M. SnyderUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2