(PC) Sevilla v. Adams, et al.

Doc. 74

| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 1. | The findings and recommendations, filed September 7, 2010, are adopted in full; |
| 3 | 2. | This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's fifth amended complaint, filed May 18, 2009 |
| 4 | | against Defendants Bradish, Roscoe, Gonzales, and Bhatt on Plaintiff's Eighth |
| 5 | | Amendment medical care claims; |
| 6 | 3. | Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment medical care claim against Defendant Nguyen; claims |
| 7 | | against Defendants Castillo, Adams, Steinberg, and Alameida; section 845.6 claim |
| 8 | | and equal protection claim are dismissed for failure to state a claim; |
| 9 | 4. | Plaintiff's claims against Defendant McKesson are dismissed pursuant to Rule 18; |
| 10 | 5. | Defendants Castillo, Adams, Steinberg, Alameida, and McKesson are dismissed from |
| 11 | | this action; and |
| 12 | 6. | Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, filed August 2, 2010, is denied. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 15 | Dated: | December 2, 2010 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |