I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	Fresno Division
9	
10	Clarence Howard, ) No. CV 06-0191-NVW
11	Plaintiff, <b>ORDER</b>
12	vs.
13	) C/O J. Nunley, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	
16	
17	Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Grant the Plaintiff Relief Within the 1983
18	Civil Complaint (doc. # 56). The Motion speaks to alleged recent actions of confinement
19	that are not within the pleadings of this case. If Plaintiff believes he has new claims
20	against corrections officials, he may first pursue his administrative remedies and then
21	bring a new action. This case is not a proper vehicle for later grievances Plaintiff may
22	have.
23	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Grant the Plaintiff
24	Relief Within the 1983 Civil Complaint (doc. # 56) is denied.
25	DATED this 12 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2010.
26	NeilUndo
27	Neil V. Wake
28	United States District Judge