22 23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EMELITO EXMUNDO,

Plaintiff,

ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CLAIMS
AND DEFENDANTS, AND REFERRING
MATTER BACK TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
TO INITIATE SERVICE OF PROCESS

A. K. SCRIBNER, et al.,

(Docs. 22, 32, 35)

Defendants.

THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Emelito Exmundo ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner who is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on February 23, 2006. (Doc. 1.) A second amended complaint was filed on June 16, 2009. (Doc. 32.) On October 8, 2010, the Magistrate Judge screened Plaintiff's complaint, and found that it states a claim against Defendants Bell and Johnson for excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment, but does not state any other claims for relief under section 1983. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Plaintiff was ordered to file an amended complaint or notify the Court of his willingness to proceed only on the claims found to be cognizable. (Doc. 35.) On November 3, 2010, Plaintiff filed a notice stating that he does not wish to file an amended complaint and is willing to proceed only on his cognizable excessive force claim.

Accordingly, based on Plaintiff's notice, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

 This action shall proceed against Defendants Bell and Johnson for excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment;

1	2.	All remaining claims and Defendants are dismissed from this action for failure to
2		state a claim;
3	3.	Defendants Robertson, Moore, Hajadjri, Valtierra, and Kavanaugh are dismissed
4		from this action based on Plaintiff's failure to state any claims against them; and
5	4.	This matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge to initiate service of process
6		proceedings.
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9 10	Dated:	November 13, 2010 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11		CHIEF CATTED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		