1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 EDWARD DEMERSON, CASE NO. 1:06-cv-00250-LJO-SMS PC 10 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING 11 v. CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS FROM SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, 12 WARDEN OF SATF, et al., AND REFERRING MATTER BACK TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO INITIATE 13 Defendants. SERVICE OF PROCESS (Docs. 56 and 66) 14 15 16 Plaintiff Edward Demerson, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has 17 filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a 18 United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 19 On September 1, 2010, the Magistrate Judge screened Plaintiff's second amended complaint and issued a findings and recommendations recommended dismissal of certain claims and parties. 20 21 After obtaining an extension of time, Plaintiff filed a timely objection on November 1, 2010. 22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings 23 and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. 24 /// 25 /// 26 27 ¹ Entitled as a motion for reconsideration. Disagreement with findings and recommendations is voiced via 28 objections, and Plaintiff's filing shall be treated as such.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1 2 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed September 1, 2010, is adopted in full; 2. 3 This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's second amended complaint, filed June 2, 2009, against Defendants Curtiss, Renya, and Morgan on Plaintiff's excessive force 4 5 claim; and against Defendants Curtiss, Renya, and Reynoso on Plaintiff's failure-toprotect claim; 6 7 3. Plaintiff's due process, conspiracy, retaliation, and medical care claims are 8 dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim; 9 4. Defendants Woodford, Grannis, Adams, Clark, Hense, Diaz, Wan, Alva, Gallagher, 10 Pineda, Odle, Davis, Munoz, Padilla, and Hernandez are dismissed based on 11 Plaintiff's failure to state any claims against them; and 12 5. This matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge to initiate service of process 13 proceedings. 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 16 Dated: November 3, 2010 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28