	1	Roger M. Schrimp, State Bar No. 039378		
	-	George P. Rodarakis, State Bar No. 222214		
	2	Eric J. Sousa, State Bar No. 232541		
		DAMRELL, NELSON, SCHRIMP,		
	3	PALLIOS, PACHER & SILVA		
	4	1601 I Street, 5th Floor		
	4	Modesto, CA 95354		
	5	Telephone (209) 526-3500		
	U	Facsimile (209) 526-3534		
	6	Ted R. Frame, SBN 023736		
		Russell Matsumoto, SBN 084949		
	7	FRAME & MATSUMOTO		
	0	201 Washington Street		
	8	PO Box 895		
	9	Coalinga, CA 93210-0895 Phone (559) 935-1552		
	,	Finite (559) 935-1552 Fax (559) 935-1555		
	10	Attorneys for Plaintiff BRITZ FERTILIZERS, IN	C.	
11		Stephen T. Clifford, State Bar No. 39020		
	10	T. Mark Smith, State Bar No. 162370		
	12	CLIFFORD & BROWN		
	13	Bank of America Building 1430 Truxtun Avenue, S	Suite 900	
	10	Bakersfield, CA 93301-5230 (661) 322-6023		
	14	(661) 322-0023 (661) 322-3508 FAX		
		Attorneys for Defendants		
	15	BAYER CORPORATION and BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP		
	10			
	16			
	17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION		
	18			
	10	-000-		
	19			
	20	BRITZ FERTILIZERS, INC.) Case No.: 1:06-CV-00287-OWW-DLB	
	21	Plaintiff,) (Consolidated for all purposes with Case No.	
) 1:07-CV-00846)	
	22	vs.) ORDER DETERMINING SETTLEMENT	
	22) TO BE IN GOOD FAITH, AND BARRING	
	23	DAVED CODDODATION DAVED) CLAIMS FOR CONTRIBUTION AND	
	24	BAYER CORPORATION; BAYER) INDEMNITY	
		CROPSCIENCE, LP;)	
	25) DATE: September 27, 2010	
		Defendants) TIME: 10:00 a.m.) CTRM: 3	
	26) JUDGE: HON. OLIVER W. WANGER	
	0 7		, JODGE, HOW, OLIVER W. WANGER	
LAW OFFICES OF	27		—	
FRAME &	28			
MATSUMOTO PO Box 895	_0			
Coalinga, CA		BE IN GOOD FAITH, AND BARRING CLAIMS		
93210		FOR CONTRIBU	JTION AND INDEMNITY	
			1	

		The joint motion of Plaintiff, Britz Fertilizers, Inc., and defendants, Bayer
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		
		Corporation and Bayer CropScience, LP, for determination of good faith settlement
		and for an order barring claims against settling defendants Bayer Corporation and
		Bayer CropScience, LP, for contribution or indemnity by the Noticed Non-Parties to
		this action (as listed below) came on for hearing by the Court on September 27, 2010.
		Frame & Matsumoto, by Ted R. Frame, appeared for the plaintiff. Clifford & Brown,
		by T. Mark Smith, appeared for the defendants.
	9	All of the documents associated with the motion were filed under seal.
10 11 12		The Court, having considered the motion, having considered the arguments of
		counsel, and having filed its Memorandum Decision, and good cause appearing
	12	therefor, makes the following findings and orders.
14 15		The Court finds that notice of the hearing and copies of all the sealed
		documents were properly served in a timely manner on the following persons, who
	16	are the "Noticed Non-Parties" and who are alleged in the motion to be potential joint
17		tortfeasors or co-obligors with defendants Bayer Corporation and Bayer Crop
19 Science:		Science:
	20	Theodore W. Hoppe, Esq., Individually and as a partner of the
	21	former law firm of Cooper and Hoppe
	22	Joseph D. Cooper, Sr., Esq.,
	23	as a partner of the former law firm of
	24	Cooper and Hoppe
	25	James W. Rushford, Esq., individually and as a partner of the law firm of Rushford and Bonotto
	26	of the law firm of Rushford and Donotto
LAW OFFICES OF	27	Phillip R. Bonotto, Esq., as a partner of the law firm of Rushford & Bonotto
FRAME & MATSUMOTO	28	
PO Box 895 Coalinga, CA 93210		DETERMINING SETTLEMENT TO BE IN GOOD FAITH, AND BARRING CLAIMS FOR CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMNITY
		FOR CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMINITY 2

	1	Rushford & Ropotto		
	2 Rushford & Bonotto			
	3			
4 5 6 7 8 9		The Court further finds that the settlement between plaintiff and defendents		
		The Court further finds that the settlement between plaintiff and defendants		
		Bayer Corporation and Bayer CropScience, LP, was in good faith and is within the		
		reasonable range of defendants' share of liability for plaintiff's injuries, taking into		
		consideration the facts and circumstances of this case.		
	10	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the settlement between plaintiff and		
 11 defendants Bayer Corporation and Bay 12 been made in good faith pursuant to the 13 Procedure Sections 877 and 877.6, and 14 against defendants Bayer Corporation 		defendants Bayer Corporation and Bayer CropScience, LP, is determined to have		
		been made in good faith pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil		
		Procedure Sections 877 and 877.6, and that any and all claims or further claims		
		against defendants Bayer Corporation and/or Bayer CropScience, LP, by any of the		
	16 Noticed Non-Parties above named for contribution or indemnity, including			
	17			
	18 pleaded claims seeking any form of contribution or indemnity in effect are			
	19 20	conclusively and forever barred.		
20 21 22		IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall serve this SEALED order on		
		each of the Noticed Non-Parties.		
	23			
	24			
	25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	26	Dated: October 7, 2010 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
LAW OFFICES OF	27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
FRAME & MATSUMOTO PO Box 895	28			
Coalinga, CA 93210		DETERMINING SETTLEMENT TO BE IN GOOD FAITH, AND BARRING CLAIM FOR CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMNITY 3		