(PC) Ward v	. Voss et al	,
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IINITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERNE	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	RONALD WARD,	CASE NO. 1:06-cv-00311-AWI-SMS PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANTS' REPLY ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
11	V.	
12	VOSS, et al.,	(Doc. 35)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Ronald Ward ("Plaintiff") is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
16	in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 25, 2010, Plaintiff filed an answer	
17	to Defendants' reply to his opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 27), filed April	
18	9, 2010, which is presently pending before this Court.	
19	Neither the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor the Local Rules provides for an answer to	
20	a reply on a motion for summary judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c) and 78; Local Rules 230 and 260.	
21	Accordingly, Plaintiff's answer to Defendants' reply, filed June 25, 2010, is HEREBY	
22	ORDERED STRICKEN from the record.	
23		
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: September 3, 2010	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
		1

Doc. 36