c	ase 1:06-cv-00565-OWW-LJO Document 3 Filed 05/25/2006 Page 1 of 2	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	YOUNG YIL JO, 1:06-CV-00565 OWW LJO P	
13	Plaintiff,ORDER DISMISSING ACTION	
14	vs. (Doc. 1)	
15	Six Unknown Names Agents, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff Young Yil Jo ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in federal custody at the Federal Correctional	
19	Institution in Waseca, Minnesota. To date, plaintiff has filed more than fifty civil cases in this district.	
20	Twelve of the cases were transferred to the United States District Court for Minnesota because venue	
21	was improper in this district. ¹ Based on those transfers, Plaintiff was placed on notice that cases in	
22	which he named defendants are T. C. Outlaw, George W. Bush, Bill Clinton, John Ashcroft, and/or	
23	Janet Reno should not be filed in this district.	
24		
25 26	¹ The court takes judicial notice of the court records in CV-F-03-5023 OWW TAG P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-03-5168	
26 27	REC LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5281 AWI LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5544 AWI HGB P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5799 REC SMS P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5913 OWW LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6115 REC DLB P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03- C201 OWW LIO P L CV F 02 C510 AWI SMS P L D L CV F 04 5222 AWI SMS P L D L CV F 04	
27 28	6301 OWW LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6519 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5223 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04- 5381 OWW HGB P Jo v. Bush, and CV-F-04-5825 OWW LJO P Jo v. Bush.	
28		
	1	

1	Plaintiff has begun to file new cases naming "Six Unknown Agents" as Defendants. Plaintiff's
2	allegations, however, are the same as those in which he previously named Bush, Clinton, Ashcroft, et.
3	al. and Plaintiff continues to be confined in Waseca, Minnesota. Plaintiff's persistence in filing cases
4	in this district despite the lack of proper venue is in bad faith and is vexatious. ² For this reason, the
5	Court declines to transfer this case and shall instead dismiss it, without prejudice. Costlow v. Weeks,
6	790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986) (court may raise defective venue sua sponte).
7	Accordingly, this action is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED, without prejudice, for lack of
8	venue.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Dated: <u>May 24, 2006</u> /s/ Oliver W. Wanger
11	emm0d6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	² As further evidence of plaintiff's bad faith and vexatiousness, the court takes judicial notice of the court records in case numbers CV-F-04-5298 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5336 REC LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5540 OWW SMS
25	P <u>Jo v. Holderman</u> , CV-F-04-5800 OWW WMW P <u>Jo v. Bush</u> , CV-F-04-5865 AWI WMW P <u>Jo v. Bush</u> , CV-F-04-5934 REC SMS P <u>Jo v. Bush</u> , CV-F-04-5962 REC DLB P <u>Jo v. Bush</u> , CV-F-04-5985 OWW LJO P <u>Jo v. Bush</u> , CV-F-04-6064
26	AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6087 AWI DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6273 AWI LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6356 OWW SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6411 AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6521 OWW DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6543
27	OWW DLB P Jo v. Bush, and CV-F-04-6576 AWI DLB P Jo v. Bush. These sixteen cases were dismissed on the same ground that the instant action is dismissed - lack of venue where plaintiff is already on notice that venue is incorrect in this
28	district.