(PC) Jones, et al. v. Burk		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DIST	MCT OF CALIFORNIA
9	FREDERICK JONES, SR.,	CASE NO. 1:06-cv-00665-LJO-SKO PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION
11	v.	(Doc. 104)
12	JOHN BURK, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Frederick Jones, Sr. ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Before the Court is Plaintiff's	
17	August 5, 2010 motion "to Reinstate Plaintiff's Due Process Claims." (Doc. #104.)	
18	Plaintiff's motion fails to cite any grounds on which a litigant can "reinstate" claims after	
19	they have been dismissed. Plaintiff's due process claims were dismissed on March 26, 2009 when	
20	the Court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's due process claims for failure to state	
21	a claim. (Doc. #50.) Plaintiff's present motion fails to set forth any persuasive reasons why the	
22	Court should disturb the March 26, 2009 ruling.	
23	Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: February 23, 2011	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto ITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27	UN	TIED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1

Doc. 107