| 1 | | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | PUNAOFO TSUGITO TILEI, | 1:06-cv-00776-AWI-GSA-PC | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S | |
| 13 | VS. | REQUEST TO REOPEN CASE (Doc. 129.) | |
| 14 | T. WAN, et al., | ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO REOPEN CASE | |
| 15 | Defendants. | REOIENCASE | |
| 16 | / | | |
| 17 | Punaofo Tsugito Tilei ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner presently incarcerated at Salinas | | |
| 18 | Valley State Prison in Soledad, California. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this | | |
| 19 | action on June 19, 2006. (Doc. 1.) On July 27, 2011, the Court dismissed this action for failure | | |
| 20 | to prosecute, based on Plaintiff's failure to respond to the Court's order to show cause why the | | |
| 21 | case should not be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to file a pretrial statement. (Doc. 122.) | | |
| 22 | On July 25, 2011, the Court received notice from a third party that Plaintiff is suffering | | |
| 23 | from a medical condition, causing him to be bedridden for the past eight months. (Doc. 124.) | | |
| 24 | On August 17, 2011, the Court granted Plaintiff additional time to respond to the Court's order | | |
| 25 | to show cause. (Doc. 125.) On November 4, 2011, Plaintiff responded to the order to show | | |
| 26 | cause and requested that the Court reopen the case and appoint him counsel. (Docs. 128, 129.) | | |
| 27 | Plaintiff asserts that he is extremely ill, in severe pain, incapacitated, and bedridden, due to a | | |
| 28 | | 1 | |
| | | | |

| 1 | medical condition. (Dec. of Tilei, Doc. 129 at 5.) Plaintiff submitted declarations by two of | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | his cell mates in support of the motion for counsel, confirming that Plaintiff is incapacitated and | | |
| 3 | unable to adequately litigate his court action. (Dec. of Ricky Kong, Doc. 129 at 2-3; Dec. of | | |
| 4 | Victor Meza, Doc. 129 at 8-10.) | | |
| 5 | Based on Plaintiff's response to the order to show cause, Plaintiff's request to reopen | | |
| 6 | this case shall be granted. After the case is reopened, the Court shall resolve Plaintiff's request | | |
| 7 | for appointment of counsel and issue a new scheduling order. | | |
| 8 | Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | | |
| 9 | 1. Plaintiff's motion to reopen this case is GRANTED; | | |
| 10 | 2. The Clerk is DIRECTED to immediately reopen this case on the Court's docket; | | |
| 11 | and | | |
| 12 | 3. After the case is reopened, the Court shall resolve Plaintiff's request for | | |
| 13 | appointment of counsel and issue a new scheduling order. | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | Dated: November 28, 2011 AMblin | | |
| 17 | CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 22 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 23 26 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 28 | 2 | | |
| | 2 | | |