1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ROBERT HACKWORTH, 11 Case No.: 1:06-cv-00850-BAM (PC) 12 ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION Plaintiff, FOR TRANSCRIPTS 13 v. (ECF No. 184) 14 P. RANGEL, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff Robert Hackworth ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner, proceeded pro se and in forma 19 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff pursued an excessive force 20 claim against Defendant Rangel. Following a trial, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Defendant 21 Rangel and judgment was entered. Plaintiff appealed. 22 On February 6, 2015, the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit authorized production of the 23 reporter's transcripts at government expense for the following dates: October 22, 23 and 24, 2013. 24 The Ninth Circuit directed that no further authorization from the district court was necessary and that 25 transcripts were due on March 16, 2015. (ECF No. 183.) 26 On February 25, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting transcripts pursuant to the Ninth 27 Circuit's order. (ECF No. 184.) 28

On March 16, 2015, in compliance with the Ninth Circuit's order, the court reporter filed the transcripts. (ECF Nos. 186, 187, 188.) Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion requesting transcripts is HEREBY DISREGARDED. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: March 18, 2015