

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MARTIN MAYES,

1:06-cv-00990 WMW (HC)

12 Petitioner,

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

13 vs.

14 DERRAL ADAMS,

(DOCUMENT #26)

15 Respondent.
16 _____ /

17 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for habeas corpus pursuant to 28
18 U.S.C. § 2254.

19 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute
20 right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d
21 479, 481 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 889 (1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773 (8th Cir.),
22 cert. denied, 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment
23 of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules
24 Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of
25 justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
26

27 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of
28

1 counsel is denied.

2

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 **Dated: April 16, 2009**

/s/ William M. Wunderlich
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28