

1 Discovery closes in this case on March 26, 2009. Given the age of this case, the Court
2 expects the parties to complete discovery by this date. As indicated above, the Court is
3 unclear whether any outstanding discovery disputes remain between the parties. To facilitate
4 the prompt resolution of this case, the Court will set a discovery dispute hearing. The parties
5 should come to this hearing prepared to discuss any and all outstanding discovery disputes,
6 and Plaintiff shall be prepared to advise the Court, with specificity, what discovery he is still
7 seeking, by reference to specific discovery requests, the dates on which those requests were
8 made, and documents sought by those requests.

9 || Based on the foregoing,

10 IT IS ORDERED that Doc. #2 is denied for the reasons stated above.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Doc. #51 shall be removed from this Court's
12 pending motion list for the reasons stated above.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to compel, Doc. #63, is denied
14 for the reasons stated above.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' motion for extension of time to
16 respond to Plaintiff's second set of interrogatories, Doc. #68, is granted to the extent that
17 Defendants' responses are due on or before January 22, 2009.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall appear for a telephonic discovery
19 dispute hearing on Friday, February 6, 2009 at 10:30 a.m., Arizona time (which is 9:30 a.m.
20 in California). Defendants shall arrange for Plaintiff to be on a conference call with them at
21 the time they call the Court for the hearing. The parties shall call the Court at 602-322-7560
22 on their conference call at the time set for the hearing.

23 DATED this 20th day of January, 2009.



James A. Teilborg
United States District Judge