| (PC) Benyamini v. | Manjuano et al  | Doc. 105  |  |
|-------------------|---|---|--|
|                   |   |   |  |
|                   |   |   |  |
| 1                 |   |   |  |
| 2                 |   |   |  |
| 3                 |   |   |  |
| 4                 |   |   |  |
| 5                 |   |   |  |
| 6                 |   |   |  |
| 7                 |   |   |  |
| 8                 | IN THE UN   | ITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |
| 9                 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |  |
| 10                | FOR THE EAS   | STERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |
|                   | DODEDT DENIMAMINI   | 1.06 01006 AWI GGA DG   |  |
| 11                | ROBERT BENYAMINI,   | 1:06-cv-01096-AWI-GSA-PC  ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS |  |
| 12                | Plaintiff,  |   |  |
| 13                | VS.   | (Doc. 100.)   |  |
| 14                | MANJUANO, et al.,   | ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION (Doc. 98.)            |  |
| 15                | Defendants.   |   |  |
| 16                |   |   |  |
| 17                | Robert Benyamini ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights                 |   |  |
| 18                | action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge          |   |  |
| 19                | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.  |   |  |
| 20                | On June 15, 2011, <u>findings and recommendations</u> were entered, recommending that                     |   |  |
| 21                | Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, filed on June 10, 2011, be denied. (Doc. 100.) On        |   |  |
| 22                | July 13, 2011, Plaintiff filed <u>objections</u> to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. 103.)         |   |  |
| 23                | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304,                        |   |  |
| 24                | this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, |   |  |
| 25                | including Plaintiff's objections, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by     |   |  |
| 26                | the record and proper analysis.   |   |  |
| 27                | ///   |   |  |
| 28                |   | 1   |  |
|                   |   |   |  |
|                   |   |   |  |

## Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that: The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on June 1. 15, 2011, are ADOPTED in full; and Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, filed on June 10, 2011, is 2. DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: \_\_\_July 29, 2011 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE