1				
2				
3				
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
5	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
6				
7	LIONELL THOLMER, 1:06-cv-01403-LJO-GSA-PC			
8 9	Plaintiff, vs. ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. 26.)			
10	vs.(Doc. 26.)JAMES A. YATES, et al.,ORDER FOR THIS ACTION TO PROCEED			
10	ONLY AGAINST DEFENDANTS YATES, ALLISON, MATTINGLY, HUDSON, AND CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER JOHN DOE, ON PLAINTIFF			
12	Defendants. EIGHTH AMENDMENT MEDICAL CLAIMS, AND DISMISSING ALL OTHER CLAIMS AND			
13	DEFENDANTS			
14	/			
15	Lionell Tholmer ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights			
16	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge			
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.			
18	On November 11, 2009, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending			
19	that this action proceed only against defendants Yates, Allison, Mattingly, Hudson, and Chief			
20	Medical Officer John Doe, on plaintiff's Eighth Amendment medical claims, and that all other claims			
21	and defendants be dismissed. Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings			
22	and recommendations within thirty days. To date, plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise			
23	responded to the findings and recommendations.			
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73-			
25	305, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire			
26	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper			
27	analysis.			
28	1			

1	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:			
2	1.	The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on		
3		Nove	ember 12, 2009, are adopted in full;	
4	2.	This	action now proceeds only against John Doe (Chief Medical Officer),	
5		Jame	es A. Yates (Warden), Allison (Correctional Counselor), J. Mattingly	
6		(Chief Deputy Warden), and C. Hudson (Appeals Coordinator), for violation		
7		of Pl	aintiff's rights to adequate medical care under the Eighth Amendment;	
8	3.	All remaining claims and defendants are dismissed from this action;		
9	4.	Defendants Tom Maddox (Director of CDCR), Spralding (CCI), Captain Beel,		
10		C/O	Cabral, C/O Jobinger, C/O Martin, C/O Bruce, C/O Diaz, C/O Garcia and	
11		Lieu	tenant J. L. Scott are dismissed from this action based on Plaintiff's	
12		failu	re to state any claims upon which relief may be granted against them;	
13	5.	Plain	tiff's claims for violation of Due Process, for violation of his rights under	
14		the F	irst Amendment, for property deprivation, and for an inadequate prison	
15		inmate appeals process be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to state a		
16		clain	n upon which relief may be granted under section 1983; and	
17	6.	The	Clerk is directed to:	
18		A.	Reflect on the court's docket the dismissal of defendants Maddox,	
19			Spralding, Beel, Cabral, Jobinger, Martin, Bruce, Diaz, Garcia, and	
20			Scott; and	
21		B.	Add to the court's docket defendants Allison (Correctional Counselor)	
22			and John Doe (Chief Medical Officer).	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
24	Dated: <u>January</u>	5, 2010	) /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
25			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
26				
27				
28			2	