(PC) Rotroff v. Robinson et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	D. 10 1210 (2 2 2 2	del of child order
9	DENIS K. ROTROFF,	CASE NO. 1:06-cv-01419-LJO DLB PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO NOTIFY COURT WHETHER A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WOULD BE BENEFICIAL
11	v.	
12	JIM ROBINSON, et al.,	THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE
13	Defendants.	
14		
15		
16	Plaintiff Denis K. Rotroff ("Plaintiff") is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma	
17	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding against	
18	defendants McClain, Robinson, Dieke, Rabout, Roger and Mendoza ("Defendants") and is	
19	scheduled for trial commencing December 7, 2009.	
20	Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff and Defendants are each	
21	to file a written response indicating whether a court settlement conference would be beneficial in	
22	resolving this action. If both parties agree, the Court will issue a further scheduling order setting this	
23	matter for settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge or District Judge from the United States	
24	District Court, Eastern District of California, Fresno Division.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>August 2, 2009</u>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
		1

Doc. 49