(PC) Kane v.	r. Pierce	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SHAUN KANE,	CASE NO. 1:06-cv-01564-OWW-GSA PC
10		ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
11	v.	APPOINTMENT OF INVESTIGATOR
12	RICHARD PIERCE, et al.,	(Doc. 103)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Shaun Kane is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
16	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.¹ On October 13, 2009, Plaintiff filed a motion	
17	seeking the appointment of an investigator to locate and serve Defendant Pierce.	
18	"[T]he expenditure of public funds [on behalf of an indigent litigant] is proper only when	
19	authorized by Congress" Tedder v. Odel, 890 F.2d 210, 211-12 (9th Cir. 1989) (quoting	
20	United States v. MacCollum, 426 U.S. 317, 321, 96 S.Ct. 2086 (1976)). The in forma pauperis	
21	statute does not authorize the expenditure of public funds for the appointment of an investigator.	
22	28 U.S.C. § 1915. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: <u>October 16, 2009</u>	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28	¹ Plaintiff's claims arise from conditions of confinement at the Fresno County Jail.	
	1	

Doc. 105