(PC) Kane v.	. Pierce		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SHAUN KANE,	CASE NO. 1:06-cv-01564-OWW-GSA PC	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL AS PREMATURE	
11	v.	(Doc. 87)	
12	RICHARD PIERCE, et al.		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	Plaintiff Shaun Kane is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this		
16	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 14, 2009, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking		
17	an order compelling Defendants to produce documents.		
18	Plaintiff's motion is premature. Discovery opened on April 10, 2009, and it is Plaintiff's		
19	responsibility to serve Defendants with a request for the production of documents. It is not proper		
20	to seek court intervention until a discovery dispute arises after discovery has been served and,		
21	following the passage of forty-five days, eith	following the passage of forty-five days, either no response is served or the response served is	
22	unsatisfactory. Plaintiff is directed to review the First Informational Order, filed on January 18,		
23	2007.		
24	Plaintiff's motion to compel is HEREBY DENIED as premature.		
25			
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
27	Dated: <u>April 21, 2009</u>	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	

Doc. 90