

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY JONES,	)	1:06-cv-01693-OWW-TAG
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER DISCHARGING
	)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
vs.	)	
	)	(Doc. 33)
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

---

On November 16, 2006, Plaintiff filed the present action for judicial review of the denial of Social Security benefits. (Docs. 1, 2.) On June 25, 2007, the parties stipulated to a remand pursuant to sentence six of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) because the claim file and recording of the hearing before the Administrative Law Judge could not be located. (Doc.16.) On June 26, 2007, the Court remanded the case for further proceedings and ordered that status reports be filed at ninety-day intervals thereafter in the event the claim file was not timely located. (Doc. 17.) No status reports were filed. (See Docket sheet generally.)

On July 25, 2008, Defendant lodged the administrative record with the Court. (Doc. 20.)

By order dated September 11, 2008, the Court amended its previous scheduling order to provide that Plaintiff's opening brief was to be filed with the Court and served on Defendant on or before October 10, 2008; Defendant's responsive brief was to be filed with the Court and served on Plaintiff within thirty (30) days after service of Plaintiff's opening brief; and Plaintiff's reply brief was to be filed with the Court and served on Defendant within fifteen (15) days after service of

1 Defendant's responsive brief. (Doc. 24.) On December 5, 2008, Plaintiff filed with the Court and  
2 served on Defendant the Plaintiff's opening brief. (Doc. 29.) Pursuant to the Court's amended  
3 scheduling order, Defendant's responsive brief was due on or before January 5, 2009.

4 As of January 22, 2009, Defendant had failed to file its responsive brief (*see* Docket sheet)  
5 and on that date, this Court issued an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for  
6 failure to comply with the Court's September 11, 2008 order. (Doc. 33.) The order to show cause  
7 also provided that if Defendant filed its responsive brief on or before February 2, 2009, the order to  
8 show cause would be discharged. (*Id.*) On January 23, 2009, Defendant filed a response to the order  
9 to show cause. (Doc. 34). On February 2, 2009, Defendant filed an opposition to Plaintiff's opening  
10 brief. (Doc. 35).

11 The Court has read and considered Defendant's response to the order to show cause, and  
12 notes that Defendant's opposition to Plaintiff's opening brief was filed by February 2, 2009. Based  
13 on the timely filing of the latter, the Court finds that good cause exists to discharge the order to show  
14 cause.

15 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Court's January 22, 2009 order to show  
16 cause (Doc. 33) is DISCHARGED.

17  
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: February 4, 2009  
20 \_\_\_\_\_

/s/ Theresa A. Goldner  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE