1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 ERIC C. R. K'NAPP, 1:06-cv-01701-LJO-GSA (PC) 9 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DEFENDANTS' FAILURE TO RESPOND TO THE COURT'S 10 VS. ORDER RE CONSENT OR 11 D. G. ADAMS, et al., REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT Defendants. **RESPONSE DUE IN 30 DAYS** 12 13 14 On February 8, 2010, the court issued an Order Re Consent or Request for 15 Reassignment, requiring defendants to complete and return the form within thirty (30) days, indicating either consent to the jurisdiction of the U.S. Magistrate Judge, or requesting that the 16 17 case be reassigned to a U.S. District Judge. The thirty (30)-day period has now expired, and 18 defendants have not returned the form, or otherwise responded to the court's order. 19 Local Rule 110 provides that "failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these 20 Local Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any 21 and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." 22 Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within 30 days from the date of service of this order, defendants shall complete and return the Order Re Consent or 23 24 Request for Reassignment, a copy of which is attached hereto, or show cause, in writing, why 25 sanctions should not be imposed for defendants' failure to obey a court order. 26 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **April 1, 2010** /s/ Gary S. Austin 27 28