

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9 TIPAKSORN TUNGJUNYATHAM,

CASE NO. 1:06-cv-01764-SMS

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S
SECOND MOTION FOR LATE FILING OF
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S BILL OF
COSTS

12 MIKE JOHANNNS, Secretary of the United
States Department of Agriculture,

13 Defendant.

(Doc. 88)

14 _____ /
15
16 Plaintiff again moves for leave to file opposition to Defendant's bill of costs, maintaining
17 that she was not aware of Defendant's motion. This Court has previously denied the same
18 motion (Doc. 84) since, when Defendant submitted the bill, Plaintiff was represented by counsel,
19 the Reich Law Firm, which received notice that Defendant filed the bill of costs. Neither the
20 Court nor Defendant is responsible to notify personally a party who is represented by counsel so
21 long as notice is provided to the party's attorney of record.

22 After the Court's denial of her prior motion, Plaintiff filed documentation signed by her prior
23 attorney terminating representation. This termination of representation does not change the fact that
24 Plaintiff was represented by her former attorney when Defendant filed its bill of costs.

25 Plaintiff's motion is DENIED as moot.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 **Dated: June 21, 2011**

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE