1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	TRACY YU-SANTOS,) 1:06-cv-1773 OWW DLB
10) ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION IN
11	v.) LIMINE NO. 1 OF DEFENDANT) TRW VEHICLE SAFETY SYSTEMS,
12	TRW VEHICLE SAFETY SYSTEMS INC.,) INC. TO EXCLUDE PLAINTIFF'S ROBERT SANTOS, and DOES 1) EVIDENCE, TESTIMONY AND
13	through 10,) ARGUMENT OF UNRELATED) PRODUCTS AND DEFECT
14	Defendants.) THEORIES, AND UNRELATED) ACCIDENTS, CLAIMS OR
15) LAWSUITS
16	
17	Motion in limine No. 1 by Defendant TRW Vehicle Safety
18	Systems Inc. ("TRW VSSI") to exclude all evidence, testimony and
19	argument concerning any product, defect theory, accident, claim
20	or lawsuit unrelated to those at issue in this lawsuit (the
21	"Motion") came on regularly for hearing before this Court on May
22	18, 2010 and, following supplemental briefing by the parties,
23	came on for further hearing on June 1, 2010. Adam R. Fox and
24	Anne Choi Goodwin of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. appeared on
25	behalf of TRW VSSI and David C. Wright and Kristy M. Arevalo of
26	McCune Wright, LLP appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Tracy Yu-
27	Santos ("Plaintiff").
28	After considering the moving papers, opposition, reply

papers, TRW VSSI's supplemental brief, the arguments of counsel,
and the records and files in this action, the Court, for good
cause shown, finds that TRW VSSI is entitled to an order GRANTING
IN PART and DENYING IN PART its Motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Motion by TRW VSSI is GRANTED, as it pertains to the exclusion of any recall, consumer complaint or any other accident, claim or lawsuit relied on or referred to by Plaintiff, including but not limited to any relied on or referred to by her occupant restraints expert, William Broadhead.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Motion by TRW VSSI is DENIED as it pertains to the left rear seat belt webbing because the issue is moot in light of the Court's June 1, 2010 order granting Plaintiff's Motion to Amend the Pretrial Order to include a manufacturing defect claim as to the left rear seat belt webbing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: June 7, 2010

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2