1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	
7	DAMON JONES,
8	Plaintiff, 1: 06 CV 01812 AWI YNP SMS (PC)
9	vs. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION RE MOTION TO DISMISS (DOC 25)
10	RE MOTION TO DISMISS (DOC 25)
11	
12	JAMES YATES, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in a civil rights action
16	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to dismiss
18	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Plaintiff has failed to oppose the motion.
19	Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper grounds for dismissal. U.S. v.
20	Warren, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). Thus, a court may dismiss an action for plaintiff's
21	failure to oppose a motion to dismiss, where the applicable local rule determines that failure to
22	oppose a motion will be deemed a waiver of opposition. See Ghazali v.Moran, 46 F.3d 52 (9th
23	Cir. 1995), cert. denied 116 S.Ct. 119 (1995) (dismissal upheld even where plaintiff contends he
24	did not receive motion to dismiss, where plaintiff had adequate notice, pursuant to F.R.C.P. 5(b),
25	and time to file opposition); cf. Marshall v. Gates, No. 93-5022, slip op. 99, 105-06 (9th Cir. Jan.
26	
	1

4, 1995); <u>Henry v. Gill Industries, Inc.</u>, 983 F.2d 943, 949-50 (9th Cir. 1993) (motion for
 summary judgment cannot be granted simply as a sanction for a local rules violation, without an
 appropriate exercise of discretion).

Local Rule 78-230(m) provides that the failure to oppose a motion "may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion..." The court will deem plaintiff's failure to oppose defendant's motion to dismiss a waiver, and recommend that the motion be granted on that basis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Defendant's motion to dismiss be
granted, and this action be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

10 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 11 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 12 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 13 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections 14 shall be served and filed within ten days after service of the objections. The parties are advised 15 that failure to file objections within the specified time waives all objections to the judge's 16 findings of fact. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). Failure to file 17 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. 18 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 19

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 8, 2009

20

4

5

6

7

26

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE