

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DON A. RENTERIA,) 1:07-cv-00161-AWI-BAK-GSA HC
Petitioner,) ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR
v.) ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF
B. CURRY,) APPEALABILITY (Docs. 33 & 35)
Respondent.)

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On February 23, 2009, the Magistrate Judge issued an order denying Petitioner's third request to stay proceedings in order to permit Petitioner to exhaust certain issues in state court. (Doc. 28). Subsequently, Petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration (Doc. 29), which the Court denied on August 17, 2009. (Doc. 31). On September 15, 2009, Petitioner filed a notice of appeal of the Court's order. (Doc. 32). On September 15, 2009, and again on September 21, 2009, Petitioner filed motions for issuance of a certificate of appealability. (Docs. 33 & 35).

The requirement that a petitioner seek a certificate of appealability is a gate-keeping mechanism that protects the Court of Appeals from having to devote resources to frivolous issues, while at the same time affording petitioners an opportunity to persuade the Court that,

1 through full briefing and argument, the potential merit of claims may appear. Lambright v.
2 Stewart, 220 F.3d 1022, 1025 (9th Cir. 2000). However, a state prisoner seeking a writ of habeas
3 corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a district court's denial of his petition, and an appeal
4 is only allowed in certain circumstances. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 335-336 (2003).

5 The controlling statute, 28 U.S.C. § 2253, provides as follows:

6 (a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a district
7 judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of appeals for the
circuit in which the proceeding is held.
8 (b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the validity
9 of a warrant to remove to another district or place for commitment or trial a person
charged with a criminal offense against the United States, or to test the validity of such
person's detention pending removal proceedings.
10 (c)(1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may
not be taken to the court of appeals from--
11 (A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention
complained of arises out of process issued by a State court; or
12 (B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.
13 (2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has
made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.
14 (3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue
or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).

15 Accordingly, final orders issued by a federal district court in habeas corpus proceedings
16 are reviewable by the circuit court of appeals, and, in order to have final orders reviewed, a
17 petitioner must obtain a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253. This Court will issue a
18 certificate of appealability when a petitioner makes a substantial showing of the denial of a
19 constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner must
20 establish that "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition
21 should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to
22 deserve encouragement to proceed further'." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)
23 (*quoting Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).

24 In the present case, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made the required substantial
25 showing of the denial of a constitutional right to justify the issuance of a certificate of
26 appealability. Reasonable jurists would not find it debatable that Petitioner has not shown an
27

1 entitlement to federal habeas corpus relief. Nor has Petitioner shown that the order he is
2 appealing is a final order. Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS that Petitioner's motions for
3 a certificate of appealability (Docs. 33 & 35), are DENIED.

4

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: September 28, 2009

7 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii
8 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28