

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARL L. JIMENA,	)	No. CV-F-07-367 OWW/SKO
	)	
	)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
Plaintiff,	)	"REQUEST TO CLERK TO SUBMIT
	)	COURT DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION
vs.	)	OF DOCUMENTS" (Doc 196)
	)	
UBS AG BANK, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

On April 9, 2010, Plaintiff, proceeding *in pro per*, filed a paper captioned "Request to Clerk to submit to Court Demand for Production of Documents." (Doc. 196). Plaintiff asserts therein:

In the conclusion of plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment for Judgment in Their Favor, With Attached Plaintiff's Response to Defendants Statement of Undisputed Facts, please find the portion quoted below:

'(2) Plaintiff be allowed to serve on defendant UBS AG (and UBS FS if the Court would permit) his discovery papers, demanding particularly production of Exhibit 15 and 16,

1 which will be lodged with the Court pursuant  
2 to Local Rule 34-250 and Rule 34 of FRCP,  
3 should this request be granted. As an  
4 alternative to the request to serve formally  
5 demand for production, plaintiff request that  
6 Exhibit 73 already containing demand to  
7 furnish plaintiff with Exhibit 15 and 17 be  
8 treated as a demand for production by a pro  
9 se litigant and defendants be ordered to  
10 comply with plaintiff's demand for Exhibit 15  
11 and 16 in Exhibit 73, by filing a copy of  
12 Exhibit 15 and 16 directly in Court, copy  
13 furnished the plaintiff.

14 Attached to this request is a copy of Exhibit  
15 73 (containing a demand for a copy of Exhibit  
16 15 and 16) and a copy of the formal Demand  
17 for Production unsigned with blanks to be  
18 filled in, which plaintiff will fill in and  
19 serve to the Defendants after the Court  
20 issues an order of approval, should the Court  
21 still require a formal demand. [SIC]

22 Plaintiff's request is DENIED. If Plaintiff wishes to serve  
23 a request for production of documents pursuant to the Federal  
24 Rules of Civil Procedure governing discovery, Plaintiff is  
25 responsible for doing so. The Court is not a repository for  
26 documents produced by the parties in discovery.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 28, 2010

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE