(PC) Hunter v. You	ungblood et al II	Doc. 20
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	LEROY DEWITT HUNTER,	1:07-cv-00373-LJO-GSA-PC
9	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS,
10	VS.	RECOMMENDING THAT THIS ACTION PROCEED ONLY AGAINST DEFENDANT HINOJOSA FOR EXCESSIVE FORCE UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, AND THAT ALL REMAINING CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS BE DISMISSED
11	VOLDICRI COD 4 1	
12	YOUNGBLOOD, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	OBJECTIONS, IF ANY, DUE IN 30 DAYS
14	/	
15	Plaintiff Leroy Dewitt Hunter ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the original complaint	
17	on March 7, 2007, against defendants Kern County Sheriff Donny Youngblood, Officer P. Davis, Officer	
18	Hinojosa, Deputy Sheriff Sergeant Mann, and District Attorney Edward R. Jagels, and alleged claims	
19	for excessive force, supervisory liability, and malicious prosecution . (Doc. 1.) On December 31, 2008,	
20	Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint, upon which this case now proceeds. (Doc. 15.) The first	
21	amended complaint names only Officer Hinojosa as a defendant and alleges claims for excessive force	
22	and equal protection.	
23	The Court screened Plaintiff's first amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and found	
24	that it states a cognizable claim for relief under section 1983 against defendant Officer Hinojosa for	
25	excessive force under the Due Process Clause. On June 11, 2009, Plaintiff was given leave to either file	
26	a second amended complaint, or in the alternative, to notify the Court that he does not wish to file a	
27	second amended complaint and instead wishes to proceed only on the claim identified by the Court as	
28	viable/cognizable in the Court's order. (Doc. 16.) On August 31, 2009, Plaintiff filed written notice	