

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 QUINCY LOWMAN, CASE NO. 1:07-cv-00546 OWW DLB PC
11 Plaintiff, _____ ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND
12 vs. TO MOTION TO DISMISS
13
14 D.G. ADAMS, et al.,
15 Defendants. THIRTY DAY DEADLINE
16 _____ /

17 Plaintiff Quincy Lowman (“plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis
18 in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on Plaintiff’s first
19 amended complaint, filed on March 3, 2008. On March 9, 2009, Defendants McGuinness, Loadholt and
20 Dwevedi (“Defendants”) filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to the unenumerated portion of Rule 12(b)
21 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or statement of non-
22 opposition to the motion. Local Rule 78-230.

23 Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to file a response to the motion within thirty (30) days of
24 service of this order. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action
25 be dismissed for failure to obey a court order.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: April 21, 2009

/s/ Dennis L. Beck
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE