

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mark S. Sokolsky,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
W.T. Voss, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 1:07-CV-00594-SMM  
**SCHEDULING ORDER**

On December 15, 2009, a Preliminary Pretrial Conference was held in open Court. The parties were exempt from filing a Rule 26(f) Case Management Plan prior to the conference. At this juncture, the parties agreed that the disclosures made in support of and opposition to a motion for summary judgment would suffice for purposes of discovery. This Court agrees. Based on the agreement of the parties, the Court establishes the following deadlines.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants’ motion for summary judgment shall be filed no later than **February 12, 2010**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s response to the motion for summary judgment shall be filed no later than **April 2, 2010**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants’ reply to the motion for summary judgment shall be filed no later than **May 14, 2010**.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the parties shall keep the Court informed regarding the possibility of settlement and should settlement be reached, the parties shall file a Notice of Settlement with the Clerk of the Court.

DATED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2009.



Stephen M. McNamee  
United States District Judge