(PC) Fields v. Vos	s et al	Doc. 31	
1			
2			
3			
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	ALLEN L. FIELDS,	1:07-cv-00595-AWI-GSA-PC	
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER FOR DEFENDANTS DALEY, O'BRIEN, AND MAYNARD TO FILE ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	
9	VS.		
10	W. T. VOSS, et al.,	THIRTY DAY DEADLINE	
11	Defendants.		
12			
13	Allen L. Fields ("plaintiff") is a civil detainee proceeding pro se in this civil rights		
14	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the complaint on April 18, 2007. (Doc. 1.) This		
15	action now proceeds against defendants Patrick Daley, Mae O'Brien, and Cindy Maynard, on		
16	plaintiff's claim that they violated his rights under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized		
17	Persons Act of 2000. <sup>1</sup>		
18	At this stage of the proceedings, defendants shall be required to file an answer to the		
19	complaint. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within thirty days from the date of service		
20	of this order, Defendants Daley, O'Brien, and Maynard shall file an answer to the complaint filed by		
21	plaintiff on April 18, 2007.		
22			
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	Dated: <u>May 7, 2010</u>	/s/ <b>Gary S. Austin</b> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
25		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
26	On March 22, 2010, the court granted	On March 22, 2010, the court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss. (Doc. 30.) The	
27	court dismissed the claims against defendants Linda Clark and W.T. Voss, based on plaintiff's failure to state a claim against them, with leave to amend the complaint within thirty days. <u>Id.</u> The thirty-day time period has expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Therefore, this action now proceeds on the original complaint filed by plaintiff on April 18, 2007, against only defendants Daley, O'Brien, and Maynard.		
28			