ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR FURTHER SCHEDULING CONFERENCE ORDER SETTING FURTHER SCHEDULING **September 30, 2009**

On August 3, 2009, Defendants filed an exparte application for an order setting a further scheduling conference. Defendants state that a scheduling conference was held in January 2008, but a scheduling order did not issue. Now that the pleadings are final, Defendants believe a further scheduling conference is necessary. The Court agrees.

Accordingly, a further scheduling conference is set for September 30, 2009, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom 9, before the Honorable Dennis L. Beck.

Attendance at the Scheduling Conference is mandatory upon each party not represented by counsel or, alternatively, by retained counsel. Only counsel who are thoroughly familiar with the facts and the law of the instant case, and who have full authority to bind his or her client, shall appear. Trial counsel should participate in this Scheduling Conference whenever possible. It may be necessary for counsel to spend as much as 45 minutes in this Conference.

27

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Doc. 141

1
 2
 3

A Joint Scheduling Report, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one (1) full week prior to the Scheduling Conference, and shall be e-mailed, in WordPerfect or Word format, to dlborders@caed.uscourts.gov.

For reference purposes, the Court requires that counsels' Joint Scheduling Report indicate the date, time, and courtroom of the Scheduling Conference opposite the caption on the first page of 4the Report.

Among other things, counsel will be expected to discuss the possibility of settlement. Counsel are to thoroughly discuss settlement with each other before undertaking the preparation of the Joint Scheduling Report and engaging in extensive discovery. However, even if settlement negotiations are progressing, counsel are expected to comply with the requirements of this Order unless otherwise excused by the Court. If the case is settled, please promptly inform the Court, and counsels' presence, as well as the Joint Scheduling Report, will not be required.

Counsel may request that their attendance be by telephonic conference. If two or more parties wish to appear telephonically, counsel shall decide which will be responsible for making prior arrangements for the conference call and shall initiate the call at the above-designated time. After all parties are on the line, the call should then be placed to Judge Beck's chambers at 559-499-5670. Additionally, counsel are directed to indicate on the face page of their Joint Scheduling Report that the conference will be telephonic.

At least twenty (20) days prior to the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, the actual trial counsel for all parties shall conduct and conclude a conference at a time and place arranged by counsel for the plaintiff(s). This conference should preferably be a personal conference between all counsel but, due to the distances involved in this District, a telephonic conference call involving all counsel is permissible. The Joint Scheduling Report Shall respond to the following items by corresponding numbered paragraphs:

Form and Contents of the Joint Scheduling Report

1. Summary of the factual and legal contentions set forth in the pleadings of each party, including the relief sought by any party presently before the Court.

7. Discovery relating to Electronic, Digital and/or Magnetic data.

Prior to a Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) conference, counsel should carefully investigate their client's information management system so that they are knowledgeable as to its operation, including how information is stored and how it can be retrieved. Likewise, counsel shall reasonably review the client's computer files to ascertain the contents thereof; including archival and legacy data (outdated formats or media), and disclose in initial discovery (self-executing routine discovery) the computer based evidence which may be used to support claims or defenses.

- (A) Duty to Notify. A party seeking discovery of computer-based information shall notify the opposing party immediately, but no later than the Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) conference of that fact and identify as clearly as possible the categories of information which may be sought.
- (B) Duty to Meet and Confer. The parties shall meet and confer regarding the following matters during the Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) conference:
- (i) Computer-based information (in general). Counsel shall attempt to agree on steps the parties will take to segregate and preserve computer-based information in order to avoid accusations of spoilation;
- (ii) E-mail information. Counsel shall attempt to agree as to the scope of e-mail discovery and attempt to agree upon an e-mail search protocol. This should include an agreement regarding inadvertent production of privileged e-mail messages.
- (iii) Deleted information. Counsel shall confer and attempt to agree whether or not restoration of deleted information may be necessary, the extent to which restoration of deleted information is needed, and who will bear the costs of restoration; and
- (iv) Back-up data. Counsel shall attempt to agree whether or not back-up data may be necessary, the extent to which backup data is needed and who will bear the cost of obtaining back-up data.

The Joint Scheduling Report Shall summarize the parties conference relating to discovery of electronic data.

- 8. Dates agreed to by all counsel for:
- (a) Filing non-dispositive¹ and dispositive² pre-trial motions with the understanding that motions (except motions in limine or other trial motions) will not be entertained after the agreed upon date. (No later than 10 weeks prior to the proposed Pre-Trial Conference date.)
 - (b) Pre-Trial Conference date. (No later than 45 days prior to the proposed trial date.)
- (c) Trial date. All of these dates should be considered firm dates. Dates should be set to allow the court to decide any matters under submission before the Pre-Trial Conference is set.
- 9. At the conference referred to above, counsel are encouraged to discuss settlement, and the Court will expect a statement in the Joint Scheduling Report as to the possibility of settlement. Counsel shall indicate when they feel a settlement conference is desired, i.e., before further discovery, after discovery, after pre-trial motions, etc.
- 10. A statement as to whether the case is a jury or non-jury case. If the parties disagree as to whether a jury trial has been timely demanded or whether one is available on some or all of the claims, the statement shall include a summary of each party's position.
- 11. An estimate of the number of trial days required. When counsel cannot agree, each party shall give his or her best estimate. In estimating the number of trial days counsel should keep in mind that this court is normally able to devote the entire day to trial.
- 12. Because the District Judges' dockets are extremely crowded dockets the parties should consider and address the issue of whether they are willing to consent to the jurisdiction of a U.S. Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636(c). All non-dispositive motions are routinely heard by the Magistrate Judge whether or not the parties consent.
- 13. Whether either party requests bifurcation or phasing of trial or has any other suggestion for shortening or expediting discovery, pre-trial motions or trial.
- 14. Whether this matter is related to any matter pending in this court or any other court, including any bankruptcy court.

¹ Motions to compel discovery, amend, remand, etc.

² Motions for summary adjudication or to dismiss, strike, etc.

1	15. Joint Scheduling Reports are to be e-mailed, in WordPerfect or Word format, to
2	dlborders@caed.uscourts.gov.
3	SHOULD COUNSEL OR A PARTY APPEARING PRO SE FAIL TO APPEAR AT
4	THE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE, OR FAIL TO COMPLY WITH THE
5	DIRECTIONS AS SET FORTH ABOVE, AN EX PARTE HEARING MAY BE HELD AND
6	JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL, DEFAULT, OR OTHER APPROPRIATE JUDGMENT MAY
7	BE ENTERED, OR SANCTIONS, INCLUDING CONTEMPT OF COURT, MAY BE
8	IMPOSED AND/OR ORDERED.
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	Dated: August 7, 2009 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	