

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES S. POBURSKY, et al.,)	1:07cv0611 AWI DLB
)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS TO
)	PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN
v.)	SUPPORT OF THEIR APPLICATION TO
)	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON
MADERA COUNTY, et al.,)	APPEAL
)	(Document 231)
Defendants.)	

On January 13, 2011, Plaintiffs James and Wanda Pobursky filed a notice of appeal of this Court’s December 13, 2010, order dismissing this action with prejudice.

Also on January 13, 2011, Plaintiffs filed an “Affidavit Accompanying Notice of Appeal to File In Forma Pauperis.”

Plaintiffs paid the filing fee in the district court action and must therefore file a request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(1). Plaintiffs’ filing is deficient, however. Rule 24(a)(1) requires an affidavit to (1) show “in detail” the party’s inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs; (2) claim an entitlement to redress; and (3) state the issues on appeal.

Although Plaintiffs’ affidavit states that James Pobursky is the sole supporter of his family and cannot pay court fees, his statement lacks sufficient detail to make a determination. Moreover, although Plaintiffs state that they are entitled to redress, they fail to identify the issues they intend to present on appeal.

