1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	MARK S. SOKOLSKY,	CASE NO. 1:07-cv-00613-OWW-SMS PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION
11	V.	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
12	W. T. VOSS, et al.,	(Doc. 28)
13	Defendants.	/
14		
15	Plaintiff Mark S. Sokolsky is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
16	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on Plaintiff's second	
17	amended complaint, filed May 5, 2008, against Defendants Voss and Bresler. On July 19, 2010,	
18	Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff failed to file an opposition or a statement	
19	of non-opposition to the motion. Local Rule 230(1).	
20	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
21	1. Plaintiff shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendants'	
22	motion for summary judgment within thirty (30) days from the date of service of	
23	this order; and	
24	2 The failure to respond to Def	endants' motion in compliance with this order will
25	result in dismissal of this action	on, with prejudice, for failure to prosecute.
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: <u>September 10, 2010</u>	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder ITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1