Case 1:07-cv-00678-AWI-DLB	Document 4	Filed 05/18/2007	Page 1 of 4	
UNITED STA	TES DISTI			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
EASIEKINI	DISTRICT OF C	ALIFORNIA		
THOMAS EARL EVANS,	CV F 0	7-00678 AWI DLB H0	C	
Petitioner,	ORDER RESPON	REQUIRING RESPO ISIVE PLEADING	NDENT TO FILI	
V.	ORDER	SETTING BRIEFING	SCHEDULE	
JAMES TILTON,		DIRECTING CLERK	OF COURT TO	
Respondent.	SERVE	DOCUMENTS		
	/			
Petitioner is a state prisoner proc	eeding pro se wi	th a petition for writ of	f habeas corpus	
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.				
The Court has conducted a prelim	ninary review of	the Petition. Accordin	ngly, pursuant to	
Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2	254 Cases and F	Rule 16 of the Federal	Rules of Civil	
Procedure, <sup>1</sup> the Court HEREBY ORDER	RS:			
1. Respondent SHALL SUE	BMIT a RESPON	SIVE pleading by filin	ng one of the	
following:				
A. AN ANSWER ad	dressing the mer	its of the Petition and	due within	
<b>NINETY (90)</b> da <u></u>	ys of the <i>date of</i>	service of this order. 1	Rule 4, Rules	
Governing Section	n 2254 Cases; <u>Cl</u>	uchette v. Rushen, 77	0 F.2d 1469,	
<sup>1</sup> The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure a practice in such proceedings are not set forth in t practice of civil actions." Fed.R.Civ.P. 81(a)(2). extent that they are not inconsistent with these ru these rules." Rule 11, Rules Governing Section 2	he statutes of the Un Rule 11 also provi les, may be applied	nited States and has hereto des "the Federal Rules of G	fore conformed to the Civil Procedure, to th	
	1			

1

2

1473-1474 (9th Cir. 1985) (court has discretion to fix time for filing an Answer.).

3	– F	Respondent SHALL INCLUDE with the Answer any and all
4	t	ranscripts or other documents necessary for the resolution of the
5	i	ssues presented in the Petition. Rule 5 of the Rules Governing
6	S	ection 2254 Cases.
7	– A	Any argument by Respondent that Petitioner has procedurally
8	a	<i>lefaulted</i> a claim(s) SHALL BE MADE in an ANSWER that also
9	а	ddresses the merits of the claims asserted. This is to enable the
10	(	Court to determine whether Petitioner meets an exception to
11	p	rocedural default. See, Paradis v. Arave, 130 F.3d 385, 396 (9th
12	(	Cir. 1997) (Procedurally defaulted claims may be reviewed on the
13	n	nerits to serve the ends of justice); Jones v. Delo, 56 F.3d 878 (8 <sup>th</sup>
14	(	Cir. 1995) (the answer to the question that it is more likely than not
15	t	hat no reasonable juror fairly considering all the evidence,
16	i	ncluding the new evidence, would have found Petitioner guilty
17	b	eyond a reasonable doubt necessarily requires a review of the
18	n	nerits).
19	– P	etitioner's TRAVERSE, if any, is due THIRTY (30) days from
20	t	he date Respondent's Answer is filed with the Court.
21	B. A MOTI	ON TO DISMISS due within SIXTY(60) days of the date of
22	service o	of this order based on the following grounds: <sup>2</sup>
23	i. E	EXHAUSTION - 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1). A Motion to Dismiss
24		

<sup>25</sup> <sup>2</sup>Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases provides that upon the court's determination that summary dismissal is inappropriate, the "judge shall order the respondent to file an answer or other pleading ... or 26 to take such other action as the judge deems appropriate." Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (emphasis added); see, also, Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 and 5 of Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (stating that a 27 dismissal may obviate the need for filing an answer on the substantive merits of the petition and that the Attorney General may file a Motion to Dismiss for failure to exhaust.); also, White v. Lewis, 874 F.2d 599, 60203 (9th 28 Cir.1989) (providing that Motions to Dismiss pursuant to Rule 4 are proper in a federal habeas proceeding.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

for Petitioner's failure to exhaust state court remedies SHALL INCLUDE copies of all the Petitioner's state court filings and dispositive rulings relevant to the examination of the statute limitations issue as required by <u>Ford v. Hubbard</u>, 330 F.3d 1086 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003) and <u>Kelly v. Small</u>, 315 F.3d 1063 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003);

- ii. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). A
  Motion to Dismiss the Petition as filed beyond the one year
  limitations period SHALL INCLUDE copies of all Petitioner's
  state court filings and dispositive rulings.
- iii. SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE Petitions 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b). A Motion to Dismiss the Petition on the basis of § 2244(b) SHALL include a copy of the previously filed federal Petition and disposition thereof.

2. OPPOSITIONS to Motions to Dismiss SHALL be served and filed within EIGHTEEN (18) days, plus three days for mailing. All other Oppositions SHALL be served and filed within EIGHT (8) days, plus three days for mailing. REPLIES to Oppositions to Motions to Dismiss SHALL be served and filed within eight (8) days, plus three days for mailing. Replies to Oppositions to all other Motions SHALL be served and filed within eight (8) days, plus three days for mailing. If no opposition is filed, all motions are deemed submitted at the expiration of the opposition period.

3. Unless already submitted, both Respondent and Petitioner SHALL COMPLETE and RETURN to the Court along with the Response or Motion to Dismiss, a Consent form indicating whether the party consents or declines to consent to the jurisdiction of a the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1).

 RESPONDENT SHALL submit a Notice of Appearance as attorney of record within SIXTY (60) days of the date of service of this order for purposes of service

3

	Case 1:07-cv-00678-AWI-DLB Document 4 Filed 05/18/2007 Page 4 of 4					
1	of court orders. <u>See</u> , Local Rule 83-182(a), 5-135(c).					
2	5. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to SERVE a copy of this order along with a					
3	copy of the PETITION and any exhibits/attachments, on the Attorney General or					
4	his representative. <sup>3</sup>					
5	All motions shall be submitted on the record and briefs filed without oral argument unless					
6	otherwise ordered by the Court. Local Rule 78-230(h). All provisions of Local Rule 11-110 are					
7	applicable to this order.					
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
9	Dated:May 17, 2007/s/ Dennis L. BeckUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	<sup>3</sup> Respondent is advised that a scanned copy of the petition for writ of habeas corpus is available through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System ("CM/ECF").					