ILT (PC) Dancy	v. Scribner, et al	Doc. 88	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
7			
8			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		STERRY DISTRICT OF CHEM ORGAN	
11	SAMUEL DANCY,	Case No. 1:07-cv-00716 OWW JLT (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR THE ATTENDANCE OF CERTAIN	
13	vs.	THE ATTENDANCE OF CERTAIN UNINCARCERATED WITNESSES	
14	A.K. SCRIBNER, et al.,	(Doc. 86)	
15	Defendants.		
16	/		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action		
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In the pretrial order filed March 31, 2011, the Court informed Plaintiff		
19	that if he wishes to obtain the attendance of unincarcerated witnesses who refuse to testify voluntarily,		
20	he must notify the Court in writing of the name and location of each unincarcerated witness. (Doc. 83		
21	at 4.) On April 29, 2011, Plaintiff filed a response to the Court's pretrial order, wherein he appears to		
22	request the attendance of the following unincarcerated witnesses: (1) Dr. Thompson; (2) Nurse Douglas;		
23	(3) Dr. Posner; (4) Dr. Smith; (5) Dr. F	Reynolds; (6) Dr. Gage; and (7) Dr. Hasadsri. (Doc. 86 at 6-8.)	
24	Doctors Posner, Smith, Reynolds, and Hasadsri, however, are named defendants in this action, whose		
25	appearance at trial need not be secured	l by subpoena. With respect to Dr. Thompson, Nurse Douglas,	
26			
27			
28			
		1	

1	and Dr. Gage <sup>1</sup> , Plaintiff has not provided the Court with locations where these witnesses may be found.
2	Accordingly, the Court will not issue subpoenas for the purpose of obtaining the appearance or
3	the above-named individuals at trial.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: May 26, 2011 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
1	
12	
13	
4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	<sup>1</sup> In response to former defendant-Gage's motion to dismiss, Plaintiff admitted that Anitra Gage was not a medical doctor but was instead a purse practitioner (Doc. 73 at 1: Doc. 76 at 2: Doc. 64 at 1.)

Notably, the Court's previous attempts at securing information regarding Dr. Thompson's location have been unsuccessful. (See Docs. 38, 39 & 62.)