1 2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ANTHONY WEATHINGTON,) 1:07-cv-00728-OWW-JMD-HC
11	Petitioner,) ORDER REQUIRING RESPONDENTS TO NOTIFY THE COURT OF CURRENT CASE STATUS
12	V.	
13	THOMAS VOSS, et al,)
14	Respondents.) RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY DAYS
)
15)
15 16		etitioner") is in the custody of the state of California
	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas
16	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic	,
16 17	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitio	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas
16 17 18	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitio Court, Central District of California, Los Ang	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas ner filed the instant petition in the United States District
16 17 18 19	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitic Court, Central District of California, Los An subsequently transferred to the Eastern District	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas ner filed the instant petition in the United States District geles, on April 23, 2007. Petitioner's petition was
16 17 18 19 20	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitic Court, Central District of California, Los Any subsequently transferred to the Eastern Distri June 27, 2007, the Court ordered Respondent	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas ner filed the instant petition in the United States District geles, on April 23, 2007. Petitioner's petition was ict pursuant to an order issued on April 30, 2007. On
16 17 18 19 20 21	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitic Court, Central District of California, Los Any subsequently transferred to the Eastern Distri June 27, 2007, the Court ordered Respondent	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas ner filed the instant petition in the United States District geles, on April 23, 2007. Petitioner's petition was ict pursuant to an order issued on April 30, 2007. On ts to file responsive pleading. Respondents submitted
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitio Court, Central District of California, Los Any subsequently transferred to the Eastern Distri- June 27, 2007, the Court ordered Respondent an answer to Petitioner's petition on October answer on November 11, 2007.	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas ner filed the instant petition in the United States District geles, on April 23, 2007. Petitioner's petition was ict pursuant to an order issued on April 30, 2007. On ts to file responsive pleading. Respondents submitted
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitic Court, Central District of California, Los Any subsequently transferred to the Eastern Distri- June 27, 2007, the Court ordered Respondent an answer to Petitioner's petition on October answer on November 11, 2007. Petitioner contends that he has been of	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas ner filed the instant petition in the United States District geles, on April 23, 2007. Petitioner's petition was act pursuant to an order issued on April 30, 2007. On ts to file responsive pleading. Respondents submitted 25, 2007, and Petitioner filed a reply to Respondents'
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitic Court, Central District of California, Los Any subsequently transferred to the Eastern Distri- June 27, 2007, the Court ordered Respondent an answer to Petitioner's petition on October answer on November 11, 2007. Petitioner contends that he has been of Amendment of the United States Constitution	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas oner filed the instant petition in the United States District geles, on April 23, 2007. Petitioner's petition was ict pursuant to an order issued on April 30, 2007. On ts to file responsive pleading. Respondents submitted 25, 2007, and Petitioner filed a reply to Respondents' denied due process in violation of the Fourteenth
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	pursuant to a civil commitment order. Petitic corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitic Court, Central District of California, Los Any subsequently transferred to the Eastern Distri- June 27, 2007, the Court ordered Respondent an answer to Petitioner's petition on October answer on November 11, 2007. Petitioner contends that he has been of Amendment of the United States Constitution evaluations, "show cause" hearings, and profe	oner is proceeding pro se in this petition for habeas ner filed the instant petition in the United States District geles, on April 23, 2007. Petitioner's petition was ict pursuant to an order issued on April 30, 2007. On ts to file responsive pleading. Respondents submitted 25, 2007, and Petitioner filed a reply to Respondents' denied due process in violation of the Fourteenth n because he has not received the mental health

1	2007. (Answer, p. 10: 24-25). As neither Respondents nor Petitioner have filed any substantive	
2	pleadings since November 11, 2007, the record does not indicate whether or not Petitioner received a	
3	probable cause hearing in December of 2007. ¹ Whether or not Petitioner has received a probable	
4	cause hearing is a critical question underlying Petitioner's claim.	
5	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS Respondents to provide the Court with an update	
6	as to the status of Petitioner's probable cause hearing within TWENTY DAYS of the date of service	
7	of this order.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: <u>February 12, 2009</u> /s/ John M. Dixon UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10	UNITED STATES WAODSTRATE JODGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	¹ On May 8, 2008, Petitioner filed a Notice of Change of Address with the Court. Petitioner filed another Notice of Change of Address on August 4, 2008. Based on the addresses listed in each notice, it appears that Petitioner is still subject to a civil	

commitment order.