1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	RAFAEL LOPEZ,	CASE NO. 1:07-CV-00808-LJO-DLB PC
9 10	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
11	DERRAL G. ADAMS, et al.,	(DOC. 44)
12	Defendants.	RESPONSE DUE WITHIN 21 DAYS
13	,	/
14		
15	Plaintiff Rafael Lopez ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
16	pauperis in this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding against	
17	defendants Davis, Masiel, and Flowerdew. On June 14, 2010, Defendants filed a motion for	
18	summary judgment. Doc. 44. As of the date of this order, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or	
19	otherwise responded to Defendants' motion.	
20	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff is to file an opposition or other	
21	response to Defendants' motion within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of this	
22	order. Failure to comply with this order in a timely manner will result in Plaintiff waiving any	
23	opportunity to file an opposition, and may result in dismissal of this action for failure to obey a	
24	court order. No extensions of time will be granted.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: <u>December 7, 2010</u>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1
	I	Docket