

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SID LANDAU,

Plaintiff,

v.

W. T. VOSS, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:07-CV-00815-AWI-DLB PC

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
ALLEN’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

(DOC. 96 & 106)

_____ /

Plaintiff Sid Landau (“Plaintiff”) is a California civil detainee proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 14, 2011, Defendant Wendy Allen filed a [motion for summary judgment](#). Doc. 96. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On November 9, 2011, the Magistrate Judge filed a [Findings and Recommendations](#) which was served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objection to the Findings and Recommendations was to be filed within twenty-one days. Doc. 106. No party filed a timely Objection to the Findings and Recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed November 9, 2011, is adopted in full;
2. Defendant Wendy Allen's motion for summary judgment, filed April 14, 2011, is granted;
3. Summary judgment is granted in favor of Defendant Allen and against Plaintiff; and
4. Defendant Allen is dismissed from this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 6, 2012



CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE