

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SID LANDAU,

Plaintiff,

v.

W. T. VOSS, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:07-CV-00815-AWI-DLB PC

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
DEFENDANTS ROBERTO MORISHO AND
W.T. VOSS FROM ACTION

(DOC. 107)

_____ /

Plaintiff Sid Landau (“Plaintiff”) is a civil detainee proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 19, 2010, the United States Marshal, who must effect service of process on behalf of plaintiffs proceeding in forma pauperis, returned a summons for Defendant W. T. Voss unexecuted. [Doc. 70](#). On August 12, 2010, the United States Marshal returned a summons for Defendant Roberto Morisho unexecuted. [Doc. 73](#). The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 14, 2011, the Magistrate Judge filed a [Findings and Recommendations](#) which was served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objection to the Findings and Recommendations was to be filed within fourteen days. Doc. 107. No party filed a timely Objection to the Findings and Recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed December 14, 2011, is adopted in full;
3 and

4 2. Defendants Roberto Morisho and W.T. Voss are dismissed from this action.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: February 10, 2012


7 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28