filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Docs. 19, 26, 32.)

23

24

25

26

27

28

On April 30, 2009, Defendants September Winchell, Leo Adcock, James Forrest, Peter Bresler, and Mohinder Kaur filed a statement of non-opposition to Plaintiff's motion to strike. (Doc. 37.) Defendants concede that affirmative defenses 11, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, the second paragraph of 27, affirmative defenses 31, 32, and all references to the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation were inadvertently included and should be stricken.

Doc. 53

1	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f), the Court may strike from a pleading an			
2	insufficient defense or immaterial matter. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that:			
3	1) Plaintiff's motion to strike, filed on April 29, 2009, is GRANTED; and			
4	2) Affirmative defenses 11, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, the second paragraph of 27, affirmative			
5		defense	es 31, 32, and all references to the California Department of Corrections and	
6		Rehabilitation are STRICKEN from:		
7		a.	the answer filed on August 29, 2008 by defendants James Forrest, Peter	
8			Bresler, and Mohinder Kaur;	
9		b.	the answer filed on March 16, 2009 by defendant Leo Adcock; and	
10		c.	the answer filed on April 20, 2009 by defendant September Winchell.	
11				
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
13	Dated: N	<u>ovember</u>	717, 2009 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
14			CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				