

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

John James III,

Plaintiff,

vs.

A.K. Scribner, et al.,

Defendants.

No. CV 07-880-TUC-RCC

ORDER

Pending before this Court are Plaintiff's Second Motion to Supplement Complaint (Doc. 112), Motion to Compel (Doc. 114), and Motion for Duces Tecum Subpoena for Third Party Documents (Doc. 115).

Plaintiff's Motion for Duces Tecum Subpoena for Third Party Documents (Doc. 115) appears to be identical to his Motion for Third Party Subpoenas (Doc. 103) filed on September 16, 2010. On October 1, 2010, this Court issued its order addressing Plaintiff's requested relief. *See* Doc. 110. Therefore, the Court will not revisit this issue further.


However, the Court will require a response from Defendants as to Plaintiff's remaining two motions. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants must file a response outlining their position on Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement Complaint (Doc. 112) and Motion to Compel (Doc. 114) on or before **October 26, 2010**.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Duces Tecum Subpoena for Third Party Documents (Doc. 115) is **denied**.

DATED this 5th day of October, 2010.



Raner C. Collins
United States District Judge