	ase 1:07-cv-01034-LJO-NEW	Document 4	Filed 07/23/2007	Page 1 of 1	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	ALBERT LEE FUENTES,		1:07-CV-1034 LJC	NEW (DLB) HC	
12	Petitioner,		ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL		
13	VS.				
14	THE PEOPLE,		(DOCUMENT #2)		
15	Respondent.		(DOCOMENT #2)		
16					
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute				
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.20				
19	479, 481 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 889 (1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773 (8th Cir.)				
20	cert. denied, 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment				
21	of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules				
22	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of				
23	justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS				
24	HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.				
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
26	Dated: <u>July 23, 2007</u>	<u>uni</u>	/s/ Dennis L. B TED STATES MAGI	<mark>eck</mark> STRATE JUDGE	
27					
28					