

1 Jeffery L. Caufield, Esq. (SBN 166524)  
jeff@caufieldjames.com  
2 Kenneth E. James, Esq. (SBN 173775)  
ken@caufieldjames.com  
3 CAUFIELD & JAMES, LLP  
2851 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 410  
4 San Diego, California 92108  
(619) 325-0441 Telephone  
5 (619) 325-0231 Facsimile

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Enns Pontiac, Buick, & GMC Truck, Earl L. Enns & Esther  
7 Enns as Trustees of the 2004 Enns Family Trust, Harold J. Enns & Patricia L. Enns  
as Trustees for the Family Trust

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 ENNS PONTIAC, BUICK, & GMC  
13 TRUCK, a California Corporation;  
14 EARL L. ENNS and ESTHER J.  
15 ENNS as Trustees of the 2004 Enns  
Family Trust; and HAROLD J.  
ENNS and PATRICIA L. ENNS as  
Trustees for the Family Trust,

16 || Plaintiffs.

ORELIA FLORES, an individual;  
SACHIKO YAMAGUCHI, as  
administrator to THE ESTATE OF  
SIETO YAMAGUCHI; THE  
ESTATE OF SIETO YAMAGUCHI,  
deceased; PATRICIA CLOTHIER  
and CAROLYN WHITESIDES, as  
administrators to THE ESTATE OF  
HERBERT LEE; PATRICIA  
CLOTHIER and CAROLYN  
WHITESIDES, as administrators to  
THE ESTATE OF MABEL LEE;  
THE ESTATE OF MABEL LEE,  
deceased; THE ESTATE OF  
HERBERT LEE, deceased;  
REEDLEY STEAM LAUNDRY;  
REEDLEY DRY CLEANING  
WORKS; JOHN PEARCE, an  
individual; PATSY MARTINEZ, an  
individual; LOUIE MARTINEZ, an  
individual.

NO: 1:07-CV-01043-QWW-DLB

**ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
CONTINUANCE OF  
SCHEDULING ORDER  
DEADLINES**

Hearing Date: June 6, 2011  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Courtroom: 3  
Judge: Oliver W. Wanger

## Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
CONTINUANCE OF SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES

TO EACH PARTY AND THEIR ATTORNEY OF RECORD:

The Court, having considered the motion, memoranda, declarations, exhibits filed, and arguments made in connection with Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance of the Scheduling Order Deadlines during the June 6, 2011 hearing, good cause exists to amend the current scheduling order deadlines.

Good cause appearing therefore, IT IS SO ORDERED that the Scheduling Order Deadlines be continued as set forth below:

<u>Deadline/Event</u>	<u>Old Date</u>	<u>New Date</u>
Non-Expert Discovery Cut-off	June 3, 2011	June 3, 2011
Expert Witness Disclosures pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2), (A) and (B)	July 19, 2011	November 1, 2011
Expert Rebuttal Disclosure and Expert Supplement Deadline pursuant to FRCP 26 (a)(2)(E) and (C), and FRCP 26(e)(2)	N/A	December 1, 2011
Discovery Cut-Off (including experts)	September 19, 2011	January 3, 2012
Non-Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions (including discovery motions)	October 10, 2011 (filed) December 2, 2011 (heard)	January 18, 2012 (filed) February 24, 2012 (heard)
Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions	October 19, 2011 (filed) December 5, 2011 (heard)	February 3, 2012 (filed) March 5, 2012 (heard)
Settlement Conference	December 6, 2011	
Pre-Trial Conference Date	January 9, 2012	April 9, 2012
Trial Date	February 14, 2012	May 22, 2012

111

111

111

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there will be no further continuances  
2 granted.

3  
4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: June 16, 2011

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
/s/ **Oliver W. Wanger**  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE