1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHRISTOPHER I. SIMMONS, Case No.: 1:07-cv-01058-LJO-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER EXTENDING DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING DEADLINES TO DEFENDANT 13 v. **KEILEY** 14 GRISSOM, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff Christopher I. Simmons is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights 17 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 18 19 This action is proceeding against Defendants Grissom, Keiley, St. Lucia, Ellstrom and Does 20 #1-10 (nurses) for deliberate indifference toward Plaintiff's "heat risk" condition, in violation of the Eighth Amendment, against Defendants Ellstrom, Rients, Sauceda, Akanno and Rufino for deliberate 21 22 indifference arising from the deprivation of Plaintiff's pain medication, in violation of the Eighth 23 Amendment, and against Defendants Rients, Akanno, Sauceda, Rufino and Ellstrom for retaliation, in 24 violation of the First Amendment. On July 22, 2014, Defendants Akanno, Grissom, St. Lucia, and Rients filed an answer to 25 Plaintiff's first amended complaint. (ECF No. 89.) On July 23, 2014, the Court issued a discovery 26 27 and scheduling order. (ECF No. 90.)

28

	d and the second se
1	On October 16, 2014, Defendant Keiley filed a motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 93.) The motion
2	was denied on February 9, 2015. (ECF No. 112.)
3	On February 12, 2015, Defendant Keiley filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (ECF No.
4	114.)
5	In light of Defendant Keiley's subsequent answer to the complaint, IT IS HEREBY
6	ORDERED that all deadlines set forth in the Court's July 23, 2014 (ECF No. 90), discovery and
7	scheduling order, as modified by way of order dated February 12, 2015 (ECF No. 115), apply to
8	Defendant Keiley as well as all other Defendants who have appeared in this action.
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	Dated: February 16, 2015
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	