McIntosh v. Nothe	rn California Universal Enterprises Company e II	t al	Doc. 341
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	ROGER McINTOSH,	CASE NO. CV F 07-1080 LJO GSA	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING POTENTIAL PO	ST-
12	VS.	TRIAL MOTIONS	
13	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA		
14	UNIVERSAL ENTERPRISES, INC., et al,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Judgment has been entered on the jury verdict, and the court reporter has prepared the 1148-page		
18	trial transcript. Any post-trial motions must be fully supported by relevant portions of the record,		
19	including page-and-line citations to the transcript and specific portions of exhibits. Motion papers		
20	must explain how specific portions of the record support a party's arguments. Mere references to an		
21	exhibit or witness testimony will not be accepted. A mere summary of recollections or inferences will		
22	not be accepted. The parties must not extrapolate from the record to support their points. Rather, the		
23	parties must pinpoint precise portions of the record to support their points. This Court will entertain		
24	extensions to submit supporting papers if further time is necessary to review and analyze the record,		
25	including the transcript and exhibits. This Court requires strict compliance with this order, and		
26	disobedience of this order will warrant sanctions.		
27	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
28	Dated: <u>March 26, 2010</u>	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
	1		
	ıl	Dockets Iu	uotio odm

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

- -